

Effect of NPK Compound Fertilizer and Nano-Fertilizer on Growth, Yield, and Nutritional Quality of Zucchini

Zahraa Nasser Abdul Amir, Karim M. Bhiah

Department of Soil and Water Resources. College of Agriculture. University of Kufa. Republic of Iraq.

zahraan.aljubory@student.uokufa.edu.iq
karimm.bhiah@uokufa.edu.iq

Abstract

Zucchini is a widely cultivated vegetable crop that requires efficient fertilization to achieve optimal growth and yield. This study aimed to evaluate the effects of NPK compound fertilizer and nano-fertilizer on the growth, yield, and fruit nutrient composition of zucchini. The experiment was conducted at the Agricultural Research Station, College of Agriculture, University of Kufa, during the 2024–2025 growing season using a factorial RCBD with three replications. The results showed that higher levels of NPK compound fertilizer improved leaf area, chlorophyll content, and fruit nutrient concentrations, while increased nano-fertilizer levels enhanced nutrient accumulation in the fruits. The combined application of NPK fertilizer and nano-fertilizer produced the best overall plant performance. These findings highlight the potential of integrating nano-fertilizers with traditional fertilization programs to improve the productivity and nutritional quality of zucchini.

Keywords: Compound fertilizer, nano fertilizer, zucchini, NPK, Nutritional Value.

1-Introduction

Green zucchini (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) is an important vegetable valued for its rapid growth, high yield, and nutritional content, being rich in water, fiber, vitamins, and minerals [1,2]. Improving zucchini productivity mainly relies on efficient fertilization, as excessive use of traditional chemical fertilizers such as NPK can reduce nutrient uptake efficiency and cause

environmental problems [3]. Nano-fertilizers have emerged as a modern alternative, offering controlled nutrient release and enhanced plant absorption [4,5]. Studies have shown that nano-fertilizers can improve growth, yield, and nutritional quality in vegetables, including zucchini [6,7]. This study aims to evaluate the effects of NPK and nano-fertilizers on zucchini growth, yield, and nutrient content, supporting sustainable fertilization strategies.

2-Materials and Methods

Experiment Implementation Site

The experiment was conducted in a single plastic house at the Agricultural Research Station, College of

Agriculture, University of Kufa, during the 2024 growing season, starting on September 7, 2024. The total area of the experiment was 200 m² (20 m length × 10 m width), aimed at

ISSN 2072-3857

studying the response of zucchini (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) to compound fertilizer (NPK) and nano-fertilizer.

Soil samples were collected randomly from several locations within the plastic house at depths of 0–30 cm. The samples were mixed thoroughly, sun-dried for 24 hours, then ground and sieved. A portion of the prepared soil was taken for analysis of some physical and chemical properties, as shown in Table 1.

Plants were grown under specific environmental conditions, with daily

temperatures ranging from 22–32°C and relative humidity between 60–75%. Irrigation was applied regularly every three days, with 500 liters per irrigation cycle inside the plastic house.

The experiment was arranged using a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replicates per treatment. Data were analyzed statistically using SPSS to determine significant differences among treatments at the 5% significance level.

Factors studied in the experiment

The first factor: Nano-fertilizer is symbolized by C3, C2, C1, C0 and at levels of (3, 2, 1, 0) g/liter.

Table (1): Explains the elements that make up nano fertilizer.

%	Nutrient	T	%	Nutrient	T
4	Zn	7	6	N	1
4	Fe	8	3	P	2
6	S	9	17	K	3
0.1	For	10	3	Mg	4
0.5	B	11	2	Mn	5
1	That	12	0.5	With	6

The second factor: Compound organic fertilizer (NPK) It is symbolized by B2, B1, B0. And at levels (300, 200, 0) kg h⁻¹.

Table (2): Shows the ingredients in compound fertilizer.

No.	Type of analyses	Result	Unit
1	Moisture	2.24	%
2	Organic matter	312.3	gm kg ⁻¹
3	Phosphorus P ₂ THE ₅	24.0	gm kg ⁻¹
4	Nitrogen	9.8	gm kg ⁻¹
5	Potassium K ₂ THE	14.6	gm kg ⁻¹
6	Ph	7.2	-
7	Total Carbon	183.5	gm kg ⁻¹

Indicators studied in the experiment

Estimation of the percentage of nutrients (K.P.N.) in fruits

Percentage of nitrogen in fruits (%)

Nitrogen was determined using the Kjeldal apparatus by taking 10 ml of the digested sample and placing it in the reaction flask. 10 ml of sodium hydroxide (NaOH) was added to it at a concentration of 40%. After that, the distillation process was carried out and the liberated ammonia was collected in a glass flask containing 10 ml of 20% boric acid and adding two drops of the indicator mixture Methyl Red and Bromocresol Green. Then, the ammonia that was collected in the receiving flask was titrated with 0.04% HCl acid. Then, the following equation was applied [8]:

$$\text{Nitrogen \%} = \text{N (\%)}$$

$$= \frac{\text{Dilution volume} \times 14 \times \text{acid standard} \times \text{Consumer HCl Volume}}{1000 \times \text{digested sample weight} \times \text{Distillation at sample size}} \times 100$$

Percentage of phosphorus in fruits (%)

10 ml of the digested sample was taken and placed in a 50 ml volumetric flask.

The volume was then made up to the mark by adding distilled water. 10 ml of the previous solution was withdrawn and 0.1 g of ascorbic acid and 4 ml of the previously prepared ammonium molybdate solution were added (10 g of ammonium molybdate dissolved in 400 ml of distilled water, then 150 ml of concentrated sulfuric acid was added). The samples were then heated on a hot plate for one minute until the solution turned blue. The contents were transferred to a 100 ml flask and the volume was made up to the mark by adding distilled water. Optical absorption readings were taken with a spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 620 nm, and the readings were calibrated with the standard curve for phosphorus according to the method mentioned in [8].

Percentage of potassium in fruits (%)

Potassium was estimated in the leaves by taking 10 ml of the digested sample and diluting it in a 50 ml standard flask, completing it to the mark with distilled water, and reading it in a flame photometer according to the method mentioned in [8].

Yield indicators and components

Early yield (megagram/e)

The early harvest quantity was calculated by calculating the yield of the first three harvests in the experimental unit and then per hectare. The date of the first harvest was 10/14/2024, the date of the second harvest was 10/24/2024, and the date of the third harvest was 10/31/2024, respectively. The period between one harvest and the next was one week.

Yield per plant (g/plant)

The seed yield per plant was calculated by calculating the seed yield of the experimental unit divided by the number of its plants.

Total yield (tons/hectare)

The yield was harvested every seven days from the experimental unit plants and included only the harvest of marketable fruits. The final reading recorded a cumulative total of all harvests that continued throughout the experiment period, as the last harvest was on 1/25/2025. The total yield of the experimental unit was extracted and then attributed to the hectare according to the following law:

Total product (megagrams/h) = Yield of experimental unit × area of hectare/ Experimental unit area

3-Results and discussion

Yield per plant (kg plant)

The results of Table (3-3) indicate that: There was a significant increase when adding compound fertilizer, as treatment B2 was significantly superior, giving the highest yield rate (3.9200) kg per plant. Compared to the comparison treatment B0, which gave the lowest zucchini yield, reaching (2.2450) kg per plant. It is noted from the results of the same table that the C3 nano fertilizer spray treatment was significantly superior in giving the highest yield per plant, which reached (3.9378) kg per plant¹. Compared to the comparison treatment C0, which gave the lowest yield per plant, amounting to (1.4089) kg per plant. The interaction treatment between adding compound fertilizer and foliar spraying B2C3 was significantly superior with the highest yield per plant, reaching (5.0700) kg per plant¹. Compared to the comparison treatment B0C0, which gave the lowest yield, reaching (1.2767) kg per plant

Table (3): The effect of NPK organic compound fertilizer and nano fertilizer and the interaction between them on the average yield of one plant (kg plant⁻¹) in zucchini plant.

Foliar fertilizer rate	foliar spray				ground fertilizer
	C3	C2	C1	C0	
2.2450 ^a	2.8800 ^f	2.5833 ^{and}	2.2400 ^d	1.2767 ^a	B0
3.0192 ^b	3.8633 ⁱ	3.5933 ^h	3.2400 ^g	1.3800 ^b	B1
3.9200 ^c	5.0700 ^l	4.8233 ^k	4.2167 ^j	1.5700 ^c	B2
-	3.9378 ^d	3.6667 ^c	3.2322 ^b	1.4089 ^a	soil fertilizer rate

Means with the same alphabetical letters are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's multiple range test at the 0.05 probability level.

Early yield (megagram e)⁻¹

The results of Table (4-3) indicate that: There is a significant increase as treatment B2 was significantly superior by giving the highest average score (10.7742). Megagram e⁻¹ Compared to the comparison treatment B0, which gave the lowest result of (7.4317) Megagram e⁻¹. It is noted from the results of the same table that the C3 nano fertilizer spray treatment was significantly superior, giving the highest value for the early yield, which reached (10.6789) Megagram e⁻¹

Compared to the comparison treatment C0, which gave the lowest value for the early yield, which amounted to (6.1878) Megagram e⁻¹. The interaction treatment between compound fertilizer and B2C3 foliar spray was significantly superior with the highest value for early yield, which reached (13.1567) Megagram h-1 Compared to the comparison transaction B0C0, which gave the lowest value, which amounted to (5.5900) Megagram e⁻¹.

Table (4): The effect of NPK organic compound fertilizer and nano fertilizer and the interaction between them on the early yield rate (megagrams/h)⁻¹ in zucchini plant.

Foliar fertilizer rate	foliar spray				ground fertilizer
	C3	C2	C1	C0	
7.4321 ^a	8.7167 ^g	7.9700 ^{and}	7.4500 ^d	5.5900 ^a	B0
8.7275 ^b	10.1633 ⁱ	9.6133 ^h	8.5200 ^f	6.0133 ^b	B1
10.7742 ^c	13.1567 ⁱ	12.3500 ^k	11.2300 ^j	6.3600 ^c	B2
	10.6789 ^d	9.9778 ^c	9.0667 ^b	6.1878 ^a	soil fertilizer rate

Means with the same alphabetical letters are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's multiple range test at the 0.05 probability level.

Total yield (mcg/h)

The results of Table (5-3) showed that adding the compound NPK fertilizer to the soil achieved a significant superiority in increasing the total yield of the plant in treatment B2 over treatments B0 and B1 at a rate of (13.6283) megagrams/h⁻¹. Compared to the unfertilized treatment B0, which gave the lowest total plant yield at a rate of (10.7150) megagrams/h⁻¹. The treatment of spraying C3 nano fertilizer was significantly superior, as it increased the total yield of the plant

by an average of (13.6522) megagrams/h.⁻¹ Compared to the comparison treatment (spraying with distilled water only C0) at a rate of (8.9811) megagrams h⁻¹. As for the interaction between mineral fertilization and nano-spraying, treatment (B2C2) was significantly superior to all treatments with the highest total plant yield, which reached (15.2567) megagrams ha⁻¹. Compared to my comparison treatment (B0C0) which amounted to (8.8233) megagrams ha⁻¹.

Table (5): The effect of NPK organic compound fertilizer and nano fertilizer and the interaction between them on the total yield rate (megagrams/h⁻¹) in zucchini plant.

Foliar fertilizer rate	foliar spray				ground fertilizer
	C3	C2	C1	C0	
10.7150 ^a	12.1333 ^f	11.6100 ^{and}	10.6100 ^d	8.8233 ^a	B0
12.1575 ^b	13.5667 ⁱ	13.2023 ^h	12.9167 ^g	8.9433 ^b	B1
13.6283 ^c	15.2567 ^l	15.1400 ^k	14.9400 ^j	9.1767 ^c	B2
	13.6522 ^d	13.3178 ^c	12.7167 ^b	8.9811 ^a	soil fertilizer rate

Means that carry the same alphabetical letters do not differ significantly from each other according to Duncan's multiple range test at the 0.05 probability level.

It is noted from Tables (3,4,5) that the superiority that achieved a significant increase in the quantitative characteristics of the yield when adding the mineral compound fertilizer NPK to the soil, in addition to spraying the nano fertilizer on the leaves, is attributed to Nitrogen is distinguished by its effective impact on the various metabolic processes of plants in their life, as it has a very important role in plant growth. The plant absorbs nitrogen from the soil in the form of nitrate (NO₃⁻) and ammonium (NH₄⁺). It encourages the absorption of other nutrients, prolongs growth, and delays aging [9]. The presence of nitrogen in its ready form leads to early growth and improves the quality of the crop. In general, adding nitrogen increases the growth process and productivity. This may be due to the positive and

vital effect of the nitrogen element in stimulating plant growth in general, especially increasing the plant height, the number of branches, and the leaf area. This means increasing the surface area of photosynthesis, and thus increasing the manufactured nutrients that are transferred to the emerging flowers to meet their needs for manufactured food necessary to increase the percentage of fertility in them, thus reducing their abortion [10]. Then the number of pods in the plant increases.

In this field, Hussein et al. (2019) indicated that increasing the amount of nano fertilizer added to plants led to an increase in the number of pods. As for phosphorus, it follows nitrogen in terms of importance and quantity for the plant (any plant) It affects the increase in root growth, which helps

them to penetrate deep into the soil to obtain sufficient quantities of water and nutrients. Phosphorus also plays a positive role in increasing the number of crops. Adding phosphorus is important in regulating vital processes and encouraging root growth, vegetative growth, and early ripening. It is important in flowering and fruit formation processes [11].

Phosphorus also plays a significant role in energy conversion and regulating enzyme activity, which helps increase photosynthesis, leading to increased plant growth and nutrient accumulation [12]. This is generally reflected in increased yield and the effect of phosphorus on seed formation, filling, and size [13]. Furthermore, nano-fertilizers are released slowly and have higher absorption efficiency, creating a larger surface area for various metabolic reactions in the plant, which increases the rate of photosynthesis and stimulates the production of dry matter in the aerial parts. Potassium plays an important role in many enzymatic reactions within the plant, leading to increased plant growth [14]. Increasing the number of branches gives the plant the opportunity to form more flowers, which ultimately leads to an increase in the number of pods, which are among the most important components of the crop that leads to increased yield [15].

The positive effect of potassium may be attributed to the increase in the yield of one plant to the increase in vegetative growth indicators (Tables 4, 5, 6, 7), which resulted in an increase in the yield of one plant [16]. This is an indication of good nutrition of the plant as a result of adding nano fertilizers, and the increase in the availability of necessary elements and their absorption, which is reflected in the physiological processes in the plant

such as carbon metabolism and the formation of proteins and sugars, which in turn is reflected in the yield. In addition to the effect of potassium in activating many enzymes, the most important of which are the enzymes associated with the process of energy transfer, so it stimulates the process of photosynthesis in the construction of ATP, which stores the energy needed from the metabolism of CO₂. In the construction of sugars and starch, ATP is the main carrier of energy [17], which leads to an increase in vegetative growth indicators, which in turn increases the percentage of flowering and fruit set, and then the indicators of the total yield. Adding NPK fertilizers to the soil increases the availability of these nutrients in the soil solution, which leads to an increase in the amounts of these nutrients absorbed by plant roots. Macronutrients (NPK) also play an active role in various metabolic processes of plant life, as they play a very important role in plant growth and proper development, and their functions range from being structural units to oxidation-sensitive factors. In general, the use of macronutrients (NPK) increases the growth, productivity, and quality of crops [10].

It has also been shown that the small particles of nano-fertilizers and their manufacturing technology allow for rapid penetration and diffusion into plant tissues. This leads to stimulating the action of plant hormones within the plant, which encourages the growth of secondary roots, which is reflected in growth and production [18]. The reason for the superiority in yield indicators for plants sprayed with nano-fertilizer may be attributed to the positive and vital effect of the nitrogen element in stimulating plant growth in general, especially increasing plant

height and leaf area. This means increasing the surface area of photosynthesis, and thus increasing the manufactured nutrients that are transferred to emerging flowers to meet their needs for manufactured food necessary to increase the percentage of fertility in them, thus reducing their abortion, and thus increasing the number of pods in the plant. These nutrients also enter into many physiological and vital processes within the plant, including the construction of amino acids, nucleic acids, proteins, chlorophyll, and organic compounds, in addition to activating some enzymes and increasing the number and size of cells, thus increasing vegetative growth indicators, as the necessary food is provided for plant growth and development, which in turn is reflected in increasing the percentage of Flowers and fruit set in plants are due to providing the necessary food to convert the vegetative buds into flowers, which directly affects the yield indicators, including the number of pods, yield per plant, and total production [8]

The result agreed with the researcher Isho (2020) in a study he conducted on broad bean plants when spraying them with nano-hydroxyapatite nitrogen

fertilizer, which gave a significant superiority in the trait of 100-seed weight, number of pods, pod length, number of seeds per pod, number of seeds per plant, and seed yield. The result also agreed with Burhan (2018) in a study he conducted when treating three varieties of bread wheat for foliar feeding with nano-NPK fertilizer and soil fertilization with mineral NPK fertilizer. The results showed that the fertilization treatment by spraying nano-fertilizer was significantly superior in grain yield, number of spikes, and number of grains compared to the mineral fertilization treatment. It also agreed with the study conducted by Gomaa et al. (2016) in an experiment on broad bean plants that spraying fertilizers manufactured with nano technology NPK had a significant effect when spraying the leaves with nano fertilizer in the two stages (vegetative growth, filling) as the highest rate of number of seeds in the pod and seed yield was achieved, while Alzreejawi and Al-Juthery (2020) found that when spraying corn plants with NPK (20-20-20) nano fertilizer and NPK (12-12-36) nano fertilizer, there was a significant increase in grain yield and harvest index compared to the comparison treatment

Estimation of NPK elements in fruits (%)

Percentage of nitrogen in fruits (%)

The results of Table (8) showed significant differences between treatments, as there was a significant superiority in increasing the nitrogen content in the fruits in treatment B2 over treatments B0 and B1 at a rate of (1.7508%) compared to the unfertilized

treatment B0, which gave the lowest percentage of nitrogen content in the fruits at a rate of (1.2692%). The nano-fertilizer spraying treatment C3 outperformed significantly and all nano-spraying treatments by giving an increase in the percentage of nitrogen in the fruits at a rate of (1.7733%) compared to the comparison treatment (spraying with distilled water only C0) at a rate of (1.0656%). As for the interaction between the compound

fertilizer and nano-spraying, treatment (B2C3) outperformed significantly and all interactions with the highest percentage of nitrogen, which reached

(2.0300%) compared to the comparison treatment (B0C0), which reached (1.0233%).

Table (6): The effect of NPK organic compound fertilizer and nano fertilizer and the interaction between them on the percentage rate of nitrogen element in zucchini fruits. (%)

Foliar fertilizer rate	foliar spray				ground fertilizer
	C3	C2	C1	C0	
1.2692 ^a	1.4867 ^f	1.3867 ^{and}	1.1800 ^d	1.0233 ^a	B0
1.5775 ^b	1.8033 ⁱ	1.7600 ^h	1.6733 ^g	1.0733 ^b	B1
1.7508 ^c	2.0300 ^l	1.9600 ^k	1.9133 ^j	1.1000 ^c	B2
	1.7733 ^d	1.7022 ^c	1.5889 ^b	1.0656 ^a	soil fertilizer rate

Means with the same alphabetical letters are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's multiple range test at the 0.05 probability level.

The percentage of phosphorus in zucchini fruits (%)

The results of Table (9) showed that there were significant differences between the treatments, as there was a significant superiority in increasing the phosphorus content in the fruits in treatment B2 over treatments B0 and B1 at a rate of (0.3850%) compared to the unfertilized treatment B0, which gave the lowest percentage of phosphorus content in the fruits at a rate of (0.2450%). The nano-fertilizer spraying treatment C3 outperformed

significantly and all nano-spraying treatments by giving an increase in the percentage of phosphorus in the fruits at a rate of (0.3689%) compared to the comparison treatment (spraying with distilled water only C0) at a rate of (0.2167%). As for the interaction between the compound fertilizer and nano-spraying, treatment (B2C3) outperformed significantly over all interactions with the highest percentage of phosphorus, which reached (0.4700%) compared to the comparison treatments (B0C0), which reached (0.2033%).

Table (7): The effect of NPK organic compound fertilizer, nano fertilizer, and the interaction between them on the percentage of phosphorus in zucchini fruits. (%)

Foliar fertilizer rate	foliar spray				ground fertilizer
	C3	C2	C1	C0	
0.2450 ^a	0.2800 ^d	0.2600 ^c	0.2367 ^b	0.2033 ^a	B0
0.3067 ^b	0.3567 ^f	0.3333 ^{and}	0.3167 ^{and}	0.2200 ^{ab}	B1
0.3850 ^c	0.4700 ⁱ	0.4400 ^h	0.4033 ^g	0.2267 ^b	B2
	0.3689 ^d	0.3444 ^c	0.3189 ^b	0.2167 ^a	soil fertilizer rate

Means with the same alphabetical letters are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's multiple range test at the 0.05 probability level.

Percentage of potassium in fruits (%)

The results of Table (10) showed significant differences between treatments, as there was a significant superiority in increasing the potassium content in the fruits in treatment B2 over treatments B0 and B1 at a rate of (3.0383%) compared to the unfertilized treatment B0, which gave the lowest percentage of potassium content in the fruits at a rate of (1.9500%). The nano fertilizer spraying treatment C3 significantly outperformed all nano fertilizer spraying treatments by giving

an increase in the percentage of potassium in the fruits at a rate of (2.8267%) compared to the comparison treatment (spraying with distilled water only C0) at a rate of (1.5133%). As for the interaction between the compound fertilizer and nano spraying, treatment (B2C3) significantly outperformed all interactions with the highest percentage of potassium, which reached (3.7233%) compared to the comparison treatment (B0C0), which reached (1.5033%).

Table (8): The effect of NPK organic compound fertilizer, nano fertilizer, and the interaction between them on the percentage of potassium in zucchini fruits. (%)

Fertilizer rate foliar spray	foliar spray				ground fertilizer
	C3	C2	C1	C0	
1.9500 ^a	2.1367 ^d	2.1200 ^d	2.0400 ^c	1.5033 ^a	B0
2.2500 ^b	2.6200 ^g	2.4467 ^f	2.4200 ^{and}	1.5133 ^{ab}	B1
3.0383 ^c	3.7233 ^j	3.5867 ⁱ	3.3200 ^h	1.5133 ^b	B2
	2.8267 ^d	2.7178 ^c	2.5933 ^b	1.5133 ^a	soil fertilizer rate

Means with the same alphabetical letters are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's multiple range test at the 0.05 probability level.

The goal of the fertilization process, whether by direct addition to the soil or spraying on the vegetative group, is to increase the overall productivity of the plant, as these fertilizers provide the plant with its need for the necessary nutrients for the vegetative group, flower formation, fruit setting, and improving the quality of the crop. The increase in vegetative growth indicators, represented by the leaf content of elements (N, P, and K), chlorophyll, plant height, and leaf area, as well as the average dry weight of the vegetative group (Tables 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10), was positively reflected on the crop indicators, as the use of nano fertilizer provided sufficient nutrients necessary for plant growth, especially N, P, and K, in addition to its content of secondary nutrients, which have the ability to stimulate vegetative cells to divide and elongate. The use of mineral N, P, and K fertilizer added to

the soil plays an important role in increasing the concentration of these elements (NPK Tables 8, 9, 10). The increase in N in the leaves led to an increase in the protoplasm mass and thus increased the vegetative growth of the plant. On the other hand, it affects the photosynthesis process through the chlorophyll pigment and increases the surface area (Table (7)), thus increasing food production in the plant [19]. The P element has a direct impact on increasing plant growth and productivity by activating the photosynthesis process and the metabolism of carbohydrates and fatty acids [8]. In addition, the role of K in increasing the leaf area and increasing the efficiency of the photosynthesis process [20]. This increase in elements led to encouraging the photosynthesis process and thus the production of carbohydrates in the leaves and their transfer and storage in the fruits, thus

increasing their concentration in the fruits, which contributed to improving and increasing the components of the yield from the weight of the fruit, as well as the yield of the single plant, the early yield, and the total yield of the plant (Table 8-10). This result is consistent with what was reached by [21] and [22]. For eggplant, [23] for cucumber, [24] for tomato.

Antioxidant properties and phenolic compounds of zucchini

Phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity are among the important

quality indicators in vegetables that play a significant role in human health and product shelf life. Tables 11 and 12 show the results of phenol and antioxidant activity of zucchini grown and prepared under different conditions. The phenol content was not significantly affected by the fertilization rate ($p < 0.05$), but with the change in the type of fertilizer applied, an increase in the content of phenolic compounds was observed compared to unfertilized zucchini. The antioxidant activity of fertilized zucchini increased compared to the control treatment.

Table (9): The effect of NPK organic compound fertilizer, nano fertilizer, and the interaction between them on the percentage of Total Phenolic in zucchini fruits ($\mu\text{g AEG/Dry Weight}$).

Fertilizer rate foliar spray	foliar spray				ground fertilizer
	C3	C2	C1	C0	
0.9500 ^a	3.1387 ^d	1.1000 ^d	1.0400 ^c	0.5033 ^a	B0
1.2500 ^b	3.6230 ^g	1.4467 ^f	1.4300 ^{and}	0.5133 ^{ab}	B1
0.3830 ^c	3.7213 ^j	1.5667 ⁱ	1.3100 ^h	0.5133 ^b	B2
	1.7287 ^d	1.7078 ^c	1.5033 ^b	0.5133 ^a	soil fertilizer rate

Means with the same alphabetical letters are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's multiple range test at the 0.05 probability level.

Table (10): The effect of NPK organic compound fertilizer, nano fertilizer, and the interaction between them on the percentage of Antioxidant Capacity in zucchini fruits (mg AA/Dry Weight)

Fertilizer rate foliar spray	foliar spray				ground fertilizer
	C3	C2	C1	C0	
1.9500 ^a	13.13 ^d	13.12 ^d	13.40 ^c	13.43 ^a	B0
2.2500 ^b	16.60 ^g	16.47 ^f	16.42 ^{and}	16.96 ^{ab}	B1
3.0383 ^c	24.72 ^j	24.56 ⁱ	24.53 ^h	24.53 ^b	B2
	16.88 ^d	16.78 ^c	16.59 ^b	13.57 ^a	soil fertilizer rate

Means with the same alphabetical letters are not significantly different from each other according to Duncan's multiple range test at the 0.05 probability level.

Based on the data in Table9, it is clearly observed that the application of any type of fertilizer (whether soil or foliar) resulted in a significant increase in total phenolic content compared to the control treatment (B0C0 with a value of 0.5033). This increase could be due to several reasons:

- Providing precursors for the synthesis of phenolic compounds: Fertilizers, especially organic and nano fertilizers, stimulate the synthesis of these compounds by improving the availability of nutrients and activating secondary metabolic pathways such as the shikimate pathway.

- Effect of nano fertilizer: The highest phenolic values were observed in foliar treatments with high levels of nano

fertilizer (C3). For example, the B2C3 treatment with a value of 3.7213 had the highest value. Nano fertilizers have a better absorption by the plant due to their small particle size and high specific surface area and can more effectively stimulate physiological processes related to the production of secondary metabolites.· Soil Fertilizer and Foliar Spray Interaction: The results show that the combination of soil fertilization (B1 and B2) with nano foliar spraying (C1, C2, C3) had a synergistic effect and led to the production of greater amounts of phenolic compounds than the application of each alone. This shows the importance of balanced and complete nutrition through both root-leaf methods.

These findings are consistent with several studies. For example, Ezzat et al. (2021) reported that the application of organic fertilizers led to a significant increase in the concentration of phenolic compounds in basil plants, which they attributed to improved soil health and activation of secondary metabolism [25]. Also, Roupael et al. (2018) showed in their study on leafy vegetables that nano fertilizers can increase the efficiency of element absorption and consequently stimulate the production of secondary metabolites such as phenols [26].

The data in Table 10 also show a similar trend with phenolic content. Antioxidant activity was significantly higher in all fertilizer treatments than in the control treatment (B0C0 with a value of 13.43). A few key points to note in this table are:

Significant effect of soil fertilizer level (B): Increasing the soil fertilizer level from B0 to B2, regardless of the type of foliar application, resulted in a significant increase in antioxidant activity. For example, in column C0, with increasing the soil fertilizer level from B0 to B2, antioxidant activity increased from 13.43 to 24.53. This indicates that basal soil nutrition plays a decisive role in increasing the antioxidant capacity of the plant.

Correlation with phenolic compounds: The simultaneous increase in the values reported in both tables indicates a positive and strong correlation between the content of phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity. Phenolic compounds are among the most important factors in creating antioxidant activity in plants by donating electrons and neutralizing free radicals.

The complementary role of nano-foliar spraying: Although the main effect is related to soil fertilizer, at any fixed level of soil fertilizer (e.g. B1), foliar spraying with different levels of nano-fertilizer (C1 to C3) has been able to slightly but significantly increase antioxidant activity. This again emphasizes the complementary and improving role of nano-fertilizers alongside the main soil nutrition program. These results are consistent with the study of Duma et al. (2022) who showed that nano-particle-based fertilizers can reduce oxidative stress in plants and enhance the antioxidant capacity of cucurbits by increasing the production of phenolic compounds [27]. Also, Fallovo et al. (2022) concluded that integrated nutritional management (soil and foliar) is the best strategy to maximize the nutritional quality and health benefits of vegetables [28].

4- Conclusion

- 1- The results of this study confirmed that fulfilling the fertilization requirements (through the application of organic compound fertilizer and nano-fertilizer) has a significant effect on the growth and yield of zucchini plants.
- 2- The application of the organic compound NPK fertilizer resulted in a significant superiority in certain studied traits.
- 3- Foliar spraying with nano-fertilizer at a concentration of 3 g L^{-1}

significantly enhanced the majority of the studied traits.

4-The interaction between the organic compound NPK fertilizer and the

nano-fertilizer, specifically in treatment B2C3, showed a significant superiority over all other treatments across all studied traits

5- Reference

Ahmad ,Fayaz ; Khan ,Obdulla ; Sarwar Sair ; Hussain Akhtar and Ahmad Sher.2007. Preformance evaluation of tomato cultivars at high altitude . Sarhad

Al-Juthery, H. W. A. and S. F Saadoun.2018. Impact of Foliar application of some micronutrients Nanofertilizer on growth and yield of Jerusalem artichoke. The Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Science, 49(4) P:577-585.

Al-juthery,H.W.A.2018.Impact of foliar application of SMP nano fertilizer,seaweed and hypertonic in growth and yield of potato under drip irrigation.Plant Archives 19 (3) : .387-393

Bozorgi.,H,R.Ebrahim,A. and Moradi.,M. 2011. The effects of bio, mineral nitrogen fertilization and foliar zinc spraying on yield and yield components of faba bean. World Applied Sciences Journal .13(6):1409-1414.

Corradini,A.;F.Advisor ; Tehama ; Glenn ; Colusa and Shasta Counties.2010 . Primary Plant Nutrients: Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium.pp1-3.

Derosa, M.; C.M. Monreal; M. Schnitzer; R. Walsh and Sultan, Y. 2010. Nanotechnology in fertilizers. Nature Nanotech. 5:91.

Elemike ,E.E., I. M.Uzoh D.C. Onwudiwe and O. O.Babalola.2019.The role of nanotechnology in the fortification of plant nutrients and improvement of crop production. appl. Sci. 9, 499; doi:10.3390/app9030499.

Fakruddin, M.; Hossain, Z. and Afroz, H. 2012 . Prospects and applications of Nanobiotechnology: a medical perspective. J. Nanobiotech., 10(1): 31-33.
Fernandez,V.;T. Sotiropoulos; and P. Brown, 2016. Foliar fertilization scientific principles and field practices. International Fertilizer Industry Association,: 1-140.

Gerdini, F. S.2016. Effect of nano potassium fertilizer on some parchment pumpkin(Cucurbita pepo) morphological and physiological characteristics under drought conditions. International Journal of Farming and Allied Sciences.5(5) P:67-371

Ghorbani, H.; A. Safekordi; H. Attar and S. Sorkhabad. 2011. Biological and nonbiological methods for silver nanoparticles synthesis Chem. Biochem. Eng. Q., 25 (3):317-326.

Gomaa , M. A.; E. E. Kandil1; A. A. Abuo Zeid and Bilkess, M. A. 2016. Response of Some Faba Bean to Fertilizers Manufactured by Nanotechnology. J. Adv. Agric. Res. Vol. 21(3):384-397.

Hasaneen ,M.N; H.M. Abael-aziz and Omer, A. M. 2016. Effect of foliar application of engineered nanomaterials : carbon nanotubes NPK and chitosan nanoparticles NPK fertilizer on the growth of French bean plant .Biochemistry and Biotechnology Research ,4(4):68-76.

Hassani, A. and Tajali, A.A. 2014 . Studying the conventional chemical fertilizers and nano-fertilizer of iron, zinc and potassium on quantitative yield of the medicinal plant of

peppermint (*Mentha Piperita* L.) in Khuzestan. *Int. J. Agric. Innov. Res.*, 3(4): 10781- 10821

Hatami, M.; K. Kariman and M. Ghorbanpour. 2016. Engineered nanomaterial-mediated changes in the metabolism of terrestrial plants. *Sci. of the Total Environ.*, 571: 275-291.

Havlin, J. L.; J. D. Beaton; S. L. Tisdale and Nelson, W. L. 2017. *Soil Fertility and Fertilizers, An Introduction to Nutrient Management*. 7th Edn. Prentice Hall, New Jersey. pp. 515.

Haytora, D. 2013. Review of Foliar Fertilization of some crops, Department of Horticulture, Agricultural University, Annual Review and Res. in Biol. 3(4): 455-465.

Hediat, M and H. Salama. 2012. Effects of silver nanoparticles in some crop plants, common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) and corn (*Zea mays* L.). *Inte. research J. of biotech.* 3(10): 190-197.

Hocking, P. J. and B. T. Steer. 1982. Nitrogen nutrition of sunflower with special reference to nitrogen stress . *Proc. 10th Intern. Sunflower, Safers Paradise. Australia.* P. 73-78.

Hong, J., Peralta-Videa, J. R., Rico, C., Sahi, S., Viveros, M. N., Bartonjo, J., ... & Gardea-Torresdey, J. L. 2016. Evidence of translocation and physiological impacts of foliar applied CeO₂ nanoparticles on cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) plants. *Environmental science & technology*, 48(8), 4376-4385.

Hossain, K.Z.; C.M. Monreal and Sayari, A. .2008. Adsorption of urease on PE-MCM-41

and its catalytic effect on hydrolysis of urea. *Colloid Surf B* 62:42–50. *J. Agric. Vol. 23, No. 3, 581-585.*

Janmohammadi .,M., N. Sabaghnia, S. Dashti, M. Nouraein. 2016. "Investigation of foliar application of nano- micronutrient fertilizers and nano-titanium dioxide on some traits of barley". *Biologija.*, 62(2): 148–156.

Kamran, S.; M. Forogh; E. Mahtab and Mohammad .2011. In vitro antibacterial activity of nanomaterials for using in tobacco plants tissue culture. *World Acad Sci Eng Technol* 79:372-373. www w

Kannan , N ; Rangaraj, S; Gopalu, K; Rathinam, Y and Venkatachalam, R . 2012 . Silica nanoparticles for increased silica availability in maize (*Zea mays* L.) Seeds under hydroponic conditions . *Curr. Nanosci .*, 8 (6) :902-908.

Kashif, M; K, Rizwan; M, Khan and A ,Younis. 2014 . Efficacy of macro and micro-nutrients as foliar application on growth and yield of (*Dahlia hybrida* L.) (Fresco) . *International Journal of chemical and Biochemical Sciences.* 5:6-10.

Hussein ,H.; N. Nemat; R.Abd Rabou and Abd El-Hady .M.A.2019. response of soybean growth to nano-mineral fertilizer tow irrigation intervals . *Arab Univ. J. Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt.* 27(6):1405-1422