Utilizing Neuroanatomical Memory Circuits to Enhance Medical Education: A Review Article

[1]Sameh S. Akkila, [2]Ahmed F. Hameed, [1]Hayder H. Abdulateef

[1]Assistant Prof., PhD (Anatomy,Histology & Embryology), Dept. of Human Anatomy, Mustansiriyah College of Medicine
samakkila@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq
h.abdulateef@ uomustansiriyah.edu.iq

[2]Lecturer, PhD (Anatomy,Histology & Embryology), Dept. of Human Anatomy, Mustansiriyah College of Medicine
dr.ahmed.f.aljaberi@gmail.com

Abstract

Memory is important in medical education. It helps medical college students preserve their attention on their studies and recall information over time. Lately, neuroscientists and psychologists have erudite more about the exact nature of memory to augment medical education. This review looks at how memories are kept in the brain and affords helpful advice on how to recall what we have learnt. The objective is to support medical students to deal with the serious tricky of knowledge overload while sustaining their sanity.

Neuroanatomical Foundations of Memory

Memory systems are fundamentally many systems. Each of their interconnected subsystems shows a distinct role. [1] Information is primarily stored in the hippocampus before being transported to long-term memory. It is crucial for generating fresh memories. [2] One well-known sample is H.M., who suffered hippocampal damage. Although he was unable to generate new declarative memories, he was nonetheless able to recall previously learned behaviors and abilities. [3]

Sustaining focus and managing information are assisted by the prefrontal cortex. Previously stated to as short-term memory, it is a component of working memory. [4] Emotion processing and decision-making are also influenced by other PFC regions, such as the ventromedial region. But for tasks demanding organization and cognitive control, the dorsolateral region of the PFC is predominantly imperative. [5, 6] This is consistent with the application of these skills in fields such as medical education. Reordering knowledge in a more integrated way is a continuous effort in medical education. [7]

Declarative (explicit) memory and non-declarative (implicit) memory are the two primary methods of long-term memory, where we remember knowledge for prolonged periods of time. [8] The two types of declarative memory—episodic (life events and experiences) and semantic (factual information)—are vital for knowledge-based research, predominantly in domains such as medicine. [9] Autobiographical memory is reinforced by a network formed by the left medial and ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, temporal lobes, retrosplenial and posterior cingulate cortex, and cerebellum, according to brain imaging studies. Emotion, perception, and meaning are all incorporated into this network's meticulously crafted multi-layered processing architecture. [10] The amygdala and the parieto-temporal-occipital cortex are two other critical regions for remembering. The amygdala enables easier remembering of emotional actions. This effect is important in educational contexts; clinical scenarios that are emotionally charged are commonly more memorable than dry facts. [11] The parieto-temporal-occipital association cortex is accomplished for producing and recalling long-term, complicated information in addition to sustaining structured schemas and combining new and old knowledge. [12]

Three interrelated processes—encoding, storage, and retrieval—are the main focus of memory establishment. [13] Changes in brain circuits that support the maintenance of encoded memories over time are what permit storage. Sensory data is transformed into formats that the brain can retain through encoding. [14] Consolidation arises when short-term memory transitions to long-term memory. This practice fortifies memory traces to increase their durability and incorporates new information into preexisting knowledge. [15]

Because retrieval practice has been confirmed to enhance long-term retention, it is especially substantial in medical education. [16] While there are a variety of hypotheses regarding this process, each one offer important insights into how some parts of difficult knowledge, such as what is taught in medical school, might weaken or strengthen with time. [17] The course of this process is defined by several ideas. According to the Standard Systems Consolidation (SSC) model, new memories rely on the hippocampus at first, but over the course of weeks or years, they steadily become less dependent on it. [18] On the other hand, the Multiple Trace Theory (MTT) contends that while semantic truths ultimately become independent of the hippocampus, episodic memories always retain some degree of reliance on it. [19]

Sleep is crucial for efficient memory growth since it augments declarative and procedural memory. Any successful learning strategy must provide suitable sleep since the REM and slow-wave sleep stages support distinct components of consolidation. [20]

Evidence-Based Strategies to Enhance Memory in Medical Education

In the complex field of medical education, well-organized methods for enhancing memory and knowledge retention are vital. A paradigm for comprehending how working memory constraints affect learning is offered by cognitive load theory (CLT), particularly in demanding fields like medicine. [21] According to CLT, mental effort can be divided into three categories: extraneous load (the needless confusion brought on by subpar materials or unclear teaching methods), germane load (the beneficial kind, where students relate new ideas to their existing knowledge), and intrinsic load (the inherent complexity of the subject). [22]

Instructional design must assault a balance between these essentials in educational contexts, particularly in clinical medicine. Prior to working on more complex ideas, students should think about comprehending smaller ones. Emphasizing key concepts using succinct and organized materials is crucial. This method aids in maintaining cognitive capacity for precise meaning interpretation. By doing this, medical education can attempt to incorporate new knowledge while addressing the complications that come with sequential teaching, which is to say that too many elements should not be presented too frequently or at once. [23]

Through activities that encourage material analysis, synthesis, and evaluation, students actively participate in the learning process. By fostering deeper processing, creating schemas, and fortifying brain connections, active involvement expands memory development. Better comprehension and retention are encouraged by techniques including self-evaluation, peer teaching, and concerning information to clinical settings. [24] In medical education, problem-based learning is a well-liked approach that provokes students to address actual clinical matters. According to neuroanatomical theory, active learning helps medical students recall information by strengthening connections between innumerable brain regions. [25]

Traditional cramming is less effective than cognitive techniques like spaced repetition and distributed practice. Before a big test, spaced repetition reinforces memories and prevents them from diminishing by starting the brain's cycles of memory consolidation. The testing effect and retrieval practice are two additional vital cognitive techniques in medical education. [26] Memory retention is further enhanced by dual encoding and multimodal learning. The brain has numerous pathways for memory recall because visual and verbal channels access distinct neural circuits. [27] When instructional materials incorporate text, images, sound, and practical exercises, the advantages of dual coding theory are fully understood. [28]

The dispersed form of memory storage in the brain aids to elucidate the usefulness of dual encoding and multimodal learning. Different yet connected neural networks process and store different parts of memory, including visual, linguistic, emotional, and semantic aspects. By using these techniques, medical education can assist students manage their limited cognitive resources and expand their retention and comprehension of difficult knowledge. [29]

Incorporating memory-boosting strategies into medical education has stored a lot of attention lately. [30] Memory retention can be boosted by using techniques like chunking, loci (memory palace), acronyms, and other mnemonic devices. [31] Given the intricacy of the language and links in medical education, acronyms—memory aids made from the initial letters of phrases—are predominantly helpful. These methods can also be used for improved memory optimization in technology-enhanced learning. [32] For example, students can use digital flashcard applications that use spaced repetition algorithms, such as Quizlet and Anki, to augment their study strategies. In virtual and augmented reality applications, dual encoding concepts are also used to produce immersive, multimodal learning experiences. [33]

Implementation in Medical Curriculum

To support memory establishment, curriculum designers should integrate evidence-based memory principles from the onset. By going over important concepts more often during the course, spaced repetition can be incorporated into the design of the course. [34] Students can increasingly develop solid knowledge frameworks using this approach. Interleaving improves idea discrimination and strengthens retrieval pathways when related but dissimilar subjects are mixed instead of grouped together. [35] Assessment design has a big influence on learning strategies. Memory development is reinforced by regular reviews and low-stakes retrieval exercises, such as quizzes. Students are more likely to learn the correct kind of information, whether it be procedural, conceptual, or factual, when assessment methods are associated with learning objectives. By utilizing the testing effect to enhance results, retrieval practice converts assessment into a learning aid when it is incorporated into the curriculum. [36]

Institutional support and faculty development are indispensable for memory-enhancing strategies to be constant. Teachers that have cognitive science training are better able to generate classes that address memory processes. [37] Evidence-based teaching workshops offer useful applications of memory-boosting strategies. Subject matter professionals and educational specialists cooperate to develop curricular materials that incorporate memory principles through collective course design. [38] Continuous upgrading in educational practices results from ongoing valuation of teaching strategies and input from peers and students. This practice aids in locating and addressing tactics that could inadvertently increase cognitive load or impede learning. Institutional support, such as resources for faculty development and promotion standards that acknowledge creative teaching, is essential to the success of these initiatives. [39]

Nonetheless, there are difficulties in implementing evidence-based teaching practices in medical education. Because of behaviors developed from years of passive learning, many students are resistant to new evidence-based teaching techniques. [40] It is vital to begin with little, gradual improvements and integrate evidence-based practices into small adjustments rather than trying to handle all of these issues at once. Students have less anxiety about studying the content carefully over time when learning practices exist as timesaving rather than stressful. [41]

Conclusion

Medical academic learning is seriously reliant on memory. The amygdala, prefrontal cortex, and hippocampus are vital components of memory functions. Traditional and research-based techniques comprising retrieval practice, spaced repetition, and dual coding are reinforced by these brain regions. These concepts should be incorporated into curriculum design, evaluations, instructional strategies, and technology assistance. By including neurobiological frameworks in our curriculum, we give aspiring doctors the skills they need to continuously integrate and utilize their knowledge in a challenging clinical setting.

Reference

1. Kesner RP. Exploration of the neurobiological basis for a three-system, multi-attribute model of memory. The Neurobiological Basis of Memory: A System, Attribute, and Process Analysis. 2015 Sep 20:1-35.
2. Maurer AP, Nadel L. The continuity of context: a role for the hippocampus. Trends in cognitive sciences. 2021 Mar 1;25(3):187-99.
3. Langer KG. The history of amnesia—A review. Current Neurology and Neuroscience Reports. 2021 Aug;21(8):40.
4. Yoo AH, Collins AG. How working memory and reinforcement learning are intertwined: A cognitive, neural, and computational perspective. Journal of cognitive neuroscience. 2022 Mar 5;34(4):551-68.
5. Funahashi S. Working memory in the prefrontal cortex. Brain sciences. 2017 Apr 27;7(5):49.
6. Weilbächer RA, Gluth S. The interplay of hippocampus and ventromedial prefrontal cortex in memory-based decision making. Brain sciences. 2016 Dec 29;7(1):4.
7. Segal O, Elkana O. The ventrolateral prefrontal cortex is part of the modular working memory system: A functional neuroanatomical perspective. Frontiers in Neuroanatomy. 2023 Feb 27;17:1076095.

8. Sridhar S, Khamaj A, Asthana MK. Cognitive neuroscience perspective on memory: overview and summary. Frontiers in human neuroscience. 2023 Jul 26;17:1217093.
9. Golshani S, Kepinska O, Gholami H, Golestani N. Neuroanatomy, episodic memory and inhibitory control of persian-kurdish simultaneous bilinguals. Scientific Reports. 2024 Nov 25;14(1):29151.
10. Daviddi S, Pedale T, Jacques PL, Schacter DL, Santangelo V. Common and distinct correlates of construction and elaboration of episodic-autobiographical memory: An ALE meta-analysis. Cortex. 2023 Jun 1;163:123-38.
11. Yavas E, Gonzalez S, Fanselow MS. Interactions between the hippocampus, prefrontal cortex, and amygdala support complex learning and memory. F1000Research. 2019 Jul 31;8:F1000-aculty.
12. Amoah DK. Advances in the understanding and enhancement of the human cognitive functions of learning and memory. Brain Science Advances. 2022 Dec;8(4):276-97.
13. Rolls ET. The storage and recall of memories in the hippocampo-cortical system. Cell and tissue research. 2018 Sep;373(3):577-604.
14. Xiang LY, Chen XY, Lu LM, Kong MH, Ji Q, Xiong Y *et. Al*. Mechanisms of neuronal reactivation in memory consolidation: a perspective from pathological conditions. Neuroscience. 2024 May 27.
15. Dudai Y, Karni A, Born J. The consolidation and transformation of memory. Neuron. 2015 Oct 7;88(1):20-32.
16. Frankland PW, Josselyn SA, Köhler S. The neurobiological foundation of memory retrieval. Nature neuroscience. 2019 Oct;22(10):1576-85.
17. Joo HR, Frank LM. The hippocampal sharp wave–ripple in memory retrieval for immediate use and consolidation. Nature Reviews Neuroscience. 2018 Dec;19(12):744-57.
18. Yonelinas AP, Ranganath C, Ekstrom AD, Wiltgen BJ. A contextual binding theory of episodic memory: systems consolidation reconsidered. Nature Reviews Neuroscience. 2019 Jun;20(6):364-75.
19. Barry DN, Maguire EA. Remote memory and the hippocampus: A constructive critique. Trends in cognitive sciences. 2019 Feb 1;23(2):128-42.
20. Boyce R, Williams S, Adamantidis A. REM sleep and memory. Current Opinion in Neurobiology. 2017 Jun 1;44:167-77.
21. Leppink J, Van den Heuvel A. The evolution of cognitive load theory and its application to medical education. Perspectives on medical education. 2015 Jun;4:119-27.
22. Kalyuga S, Singh AM. Rethinking the boundaries of cognitive load theory in complex learning. Educational Psychology Review. 2016 Dec;28:831-52.
23. Plass JL, Kalyuga S. Four ways of considering emotion in cognitive load theory. Educational psychology review. 2019 Jun 15;31:339-59.
24. Markant DB, Ruggeri A, Gureckis TM, Xu F. Enhanced memory as a common effect of active learning. Mind, Brain, and Education. 2016 Sep;10(3):142-52.
25. Zhu Y, Nowak R. Active learning with neural networks: Insights from nonparametric statistics. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 2022 Dec 6;35:142-55.
26. Benjamin AS, Tullis J. What makes distributed practice effective?. Cognitive psychology. 2010 Nov 1;61(3):228-47.

27. Amit E, Gottlieb S, Greene JD. Visual versus verbal thinking and dual-process moral cognition. Dual-process theories of the social mind. 2014 May 1:340-54.
28. Gellevij M, Van Der Meij H, De Jong T, Pieters J. Multimodal versus unimodal instruction in a complex learning context. The Journal of Experimental Education. 2002 Jan 1;70(3):215-39.
29. Xiao J, Adnan S. Flipped anatomy classroom integrating multimodal digital resources shows positive influence upon students' experience and learning performance. Anatomical Sciences Education. 2022 Nov;15(6):1086-102.
30. Akhter N, Nawshin N, Khatun M. Mnemonic techniques: Characteristics, educational suitability and research prospects in the field of anatomy. Mymensingh Med. J. 2021 Oct;30(4).
31. Twomey C, Kroneisen M. The effectiveness of the loci method as a mnemonic device: Meta-analysis. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology. 2021 Aug;74(8):1317-26.
32. Barrison PD, Balczewski EA, Capellari E, Landis-Lewis Z, Vinson AH. Electronic Flashcards in Health Professions Education: A Scoping Review. Academic Medicine. 2024 Nov 27:10-97.
33. Yeung AW, Tosevska A, Klager E, Eibensteiner F, Laxar D, Stoyanov J *et. al*. Virtual and augmented reality applications in medicine: analysis of the scientific literature. Journal of medical internet research. 2021 Feb 10;23(2):e25499.
34. Azim HM, Santare JA, Sprague AV, Murphy RJ, Miller LC, Perrotta SE *et. al*. How about an anatomy elective? Making a case for utilizing spaced repetition and longitudinal integration of anatomy in medical curricula. BMC medical education. 2025 Mar 31;25(1):462.
35. Firth J, Rivers I, Boyle J. A systematic review of interleaving as a concept learning strategy. Review of Education. 2021 Jun;9(2):642-84.
36. Mendoza W, Ramírez GM, González C, Moreira F. Assessment of curriculum design by learning outcomes (LO). Education Sciences. 2022 Aug 10;12(8):541.
37. Weidman J, Baker K. The cognitive science of learning: concepts and strategies for the educator and learner. Anesthesia & Analgesia. 2015 Dec 1;121(6):1586-99.
38. Hess GF. Collaborative course design: Not my course, not their course, but our course. Washburn LJ. 2007;47:367.
39. Lim WK. Problem based learning in medical education: handling objections and sustainable implementation. Advances in medical education and practice. 2023 Dec 31:1453-60.
40. Ludmerer KM. Time and medical education. Annals of internal medicine. 2000 Jan 4;132(1):25-8.
41. West CP, Shanafelt TD. The influence of personal and environmental factors on professionalism in medical education. BMC medical education. 2007 Aug 30;7(1):29.