مجلة كلية التربية الأساسية للعلوم التربوية و الإنسانية
مجلة علمية محكمة تصدر عن كلية التربية الأساسية /جامعة بابل

Through a Madman's Eyes: A Reading in Poe's World of Unreliable Narrators

Prof. Dr. Hadeel Aziz Al-Hilu
Researcher. Zainab Hamza Gathwan
basic.hadeel.azez@uobabylon.edu.iq
University of Babylon/ College of Basic Education

من خلال عيني مجنون: قراءة في عالم إدغار آلان بو وسرده غير الموثوق

أ.د. هديل عزيز محمد رضا الباحثة. زينب حمزه غثوان
جامعة بابل / كلية التربية الأساسية

الملخّص

تستكشف هذه الدراسة الاستخدام الاستراتيجي لإدغار آلان بو للرواة غير الموثوقين لفحص عدم استقرار الحقيقة والهوية والإدراك في قصصه القصيرة. وتركز على قصص "القلب الواشي"، و"القطة السوداء"، و"برميل النبيذ الأمونتيادو"، حيث تُظهر كيف أن رواةً يتشكلون بفعل الهوس أو الذنب أو النية الخبيثة المدروسة يتحدّون ثقة القارئ فيما يُروى، ومن خلال قراءات تحليلية دقيقة وإطار نقدي متعدد التخصصات) يشمل التحليل النفسي، وعلم السرد، والتفكيك، والنظرية النسوية، ونظرية الأدب الإدراكي (يُبيّن البحث كيف يصوغ بو أصواتًا سردية تعترف وتخفي في آنٍ واحد، تُضلل وتُفكك، وتكشف صراعًا أخلاقيًا ونفسيًا داخل كل راوٍ. تتحول القصة نفسها إلى فعل مسرحي للجنون أو الإنكار أو السعي للسيطرة. وبعيدًا عن تقديم دروس أخلاقية واضحة أو حلول نفسية، تتركنا قصص بو معلّقين وسط الغموض، نواجه رواةً يتحدّون التماسك واليقين. وبهذا، يدعونا بو إلى مساءلة ليس فقط مصداقية شخصياته، بل أيضًا آليات السرد وحدود الفهم البشري.

الكلمات المفتاحية: عين، مجنون، إدغار آلان بو، سرد غير الموثوق.

Abstract

This study explores Edgar Allan Poe's strategic use of unreliable narrators to probe the instability of truth, identity, and perception in his short fiction. Focusing on "The Tell-Tale Heart," "The Black Cat," and "The Cask of Amontillado," it examines how narrators shaped by obsession, guilt, or calculated malice challenge the reader's trust in what is being told. Through detailed close readings and an interdisciplinary critical framework—including psychoanalysis, narratology, deconstruction, feminist theory, and cognitive literary theory—the paper demonstrates how Poe crafts narrative voices that simultaneously confess and conceal, manipulate and unravel. Each narrator becomes a site of moral and psychological conflict, turning storytelling itself into a performance of madness, denial, or control. Far from offering clear moral lessons or psychological resolution, Poe's stories leave readers suspended in ambiguity, confronted with narrators who defy coherence and certainty. In doing so, Poe invites

us to question not only the reliability of his characters, but also the very mechanisms of narrative and the limits of human understanding.

Keywords: Eye, Madman, Edgar Allan Poe, Unreliable Narrative.

I: Introduction

Literature often functions as a mirror of its cultural moment, offering insight into the values, anxieties, and philosophical questions of its time. In the context of American literary history, few periods have had as enduring an influence as Romanticism. Emerging as a reaction against the Enlightenment's emphasis on reason, order, and empirical knowledge, Romanticism prioritized emotion, imagination, and the subjective experience of the individual (Hoeveler, 2010). This shift was not merely aesthetic but ideological, as it sought to reassert the value of inner life and spiritual depth in a world increasingly shaped by rationality and industrial progress. In the United States, Romanticism evolved with a distinct character shaped by national identity, frontier experience, and a fascination with the mysterious and the sublime (Gura, 2008). Within this cultural and literary matrix, Edgar Allan Poe occupies a singular place. His works are intensely focused on the darker aspects of the human psyche, notably madness, guilt, obsession, and the blurred boundary between perception and reality.

Among American Romantics, Poe is perhaps the most psychologically probing. His tales often dramatize internal conflict, inviting readers into the unstable minds of narrators whose authority is constantly undermined. Central to this narrative strategy is the use of unreliable narrators. Wayne C. Booth (1961) famously defined the unreliable narrator as one whose credibility is seriously compromised, making readers question the truthfulness or coherence of the narrative. Poe's fiction is replete with such figures—characters who insist on their sanity even as their accounts betray deep psychological disarray. Stories like "The Tell-Tale Heart," "The Black Cat," and "The Cask of Amontillado" showcase narrators who do not merely mislead the reader but also deceive themselves. Their narrative voices are marked by contradiction, fragmentation, and an obsessive need for control.

This unreliability is not incidental; it is a deliberate aesthetic and philosophical choice. As Robert Shulman (1985) argues, Poe's use of unreliable narrators reflects a critique of Enlightenment rationalism and an embrace of Romantic subjectivity. The tension between rational discourse and irrational experience forms the core of Poe's narrative technique. In "The Tell-Tale Heart," the narrator proclaims his sanity while describing a murder he committed because of an old man's "vulture eye" (Poe, 1843, p. 792). The contradiction is immediate: "True!—nervous—very, very dreadfully nervous I had been and am; but why will you say that I am mad?" His insistence on sanity only deepens the impression of madness. In "The Black Cat," the narrator attempts to present his tale as a moral and truthful confession, yet his narrative is riddled with evasions and self-justifications: "Yet, mad am I not—and very surely do I

not dream" (Poe, 1843, p. 850). "The Cask of Amontillado" is perhaps more chilling in its calm and calculated tone, as Montresor's articulate and measured voice masks the moral depravity of his actions: "I must not only punish but punish with impunity" (Poe, 1846, p. 848). These narrators challenge the reader's ability to discern truth from delusion, confession from performance.

The theoretical frameworks applied to these narratives further illuminate their complexity. Psychoanalysis, particularly the work of Freud and later scholars like Norman Holland and Marie Bonaparte, provides tools to interpret the unconscious drives and repressed traumas that shape these unreliable accounts. Freud's concept of the uncanny ("Das Unheimliche") highlights the return of repressed fears in disturbing forms (Freud, 1919). Poe's stories, steeped in horror and anxiety, often dramatize such returns. For instance, in "The Tell-Tale Heart," the imagined sound of the old man's heart beating beneath the floorboards—"It is the beating of his hideous heart!" (Poe, 1843, p. 796)—can be interpreted as the narrator's repressed guilt erupting into consciousness. Holland (1960, 1968) emphasizes that literary texts can express unconscious fantasies, both of the author and the reader. In this view, Poe's unreliable narrators become sites of projection, dramatizing psychic tensions that resist coherent resolution. Marie Bonaparte (1949) also explores the idea that Poe's characters reflect his unresolved traumas, particularly related to loss and abandonment, making the violent and obsessive qualities of his narrators deeply personal and psychologically revealing.

Narratology also contributes to the understanding of Poe's technique. Genette's (1980) concept of focalization distinguishes between the one who sees and the one who speaks. Poe's narrators frequently employ internal focalization, restricting the narrative to their own limited and often disturbed perspectives. This strategy not only increases suspense but also foregrounds epistemological uncertainty. Readers are trapped within the narrator's consciousness and are denied an objective viewpoint. Brian Richardson (2006) argues that such narrative structures foreground the instability of truth and the constructedness of narrative itself. In "The Black Cat," for example, the narrator insists that his story is "a series of mere household events," yet those events escalate from animal cruelty to murder and necrophilic concealment (Poe, 1843, p. 850). The narrative's structure, framed as a calm retrospective confession, constantly contrasts with the escalating violence, intensifying the reader's sense of unease.

Furthermore, poststructuralist theory, particularly Derrida's notion of différance, underlines the deferral and fragmentation of meaning in Poe's texts (Derrida, 1978). The narrators' attempts to impose coherence on their narratives are continually undermined by contradictions, gaps, and rhetorical excess. Their speech acts are performative rather than informative, often serving to obscure rather than reveal. As John Carlos Rowe (1997) observes, Poe's fiction deconstructs the very act of narration, revealing how language itself can be a vehicle of deception and delusion. In "The Cask

of Amontillado," Montresor provides no evidence for Fortunato's alleged insults, allowing readers to question whether the act of revenge is justified or a product of delusional pride: "You, who so well know the nature of my soul..." (Poe, 1846, p. 848). The lack of narrative context challenges the reader to interpret what is unsaid as much as what is told.

Feminist readings, especially those by Gilbert and Gubar (1979), introduce a critical awareness of gender dynamics in Poe's stories. The erasure or silencing of female figures—as seen in "The Black Cat" and "Ligeia"—is symptomatic of a masculine desire to dominate narrative space. The unreliable male narrator, in asserting his authority, often reveals deeper anxieties about identity, power, and control. In "The Black Cat," the narrator's wife is brutally murdered and barely acknowledged, a narrative omission that speaks volumes about gendered violence and repression. Sandra Gilbert and Susan Gubar argue that Gothic male narrators often attempt to control or silence the feminine, projecting their fears and insecurities onto female figures (Gilbert & Gubar, 1979).

Finally, cognitive literary theory offers insights into how readers engage with unreliable narration. Lisa Zunshine (2006) uses theory of mind to explain how readers instinctively attribute mental states to characters, even when those characters are deceptive or mentally unstable. Poe's stories exploit this tendency by providing cues that both confirm and undermine the narrator's reliability. This cognitive dissonance forces readers into an active interpretive role, turning them into analysts of the narrative voice. Zunshine notes that such narratives engage readers more deeply because they must navigate conflicting information and assess the narrator's motivations.

In sum, Poe's use of unreliable narrators is more than a stylistic flourish; it is a profound commentary on the nature of truth, identity, and narrative itself. His tales do not merely depict madness; they perform it. They draw readers into a hall of mirrors, where perception is distorted and meaning is always elusive. Through characters who oscillate between reason and irrationality, confession and concealment, Poe challenges the reader to confront the instability of knowledge and the performative nature of storytelling. By examining these stories through the lenses of psychoanalysis, narratology, poststructuralism, feminism, and cognitive theory, this study will explore how Poe crafts a world in which madness is not an aberration but a structural principle of narration. His unreliable narrators are not just madmen telling tales—they are embodiments of a literary vision that interrogates the very possibility of stable meaning and coherent identity. In Poe's fictional universe, the act of narration becomes both a confession and a concealment, a means of asserting identity while simultaneously unraveling it.

II: Theoretical Framework and Critical Context

The concept of the unreliable narrator has gained significant traction in literary criticism, particularly in analyses of first-person narratives where subjectivity and perception play critical roles. Wayne C. Booth's foundational articulation in The Rhetoric of Fiction (1961) identifies the unreliable narrator as a character whose credibility is seriously compromised, requiring the reader to interpret the story with heightened skepticism. Poe's narrators exemplify this paradigm, presenting themselves as logical and composed, while their language, behavior, and outcomes tell a very different story. According to Booth, such narrators are "unreliable when they are mistaken, or they lie, or they are insane" (Booth, 1961, p. 158). Each of these categories manifests in Poe's fiction in complex, often overlapping ways.

In Gothic literature, unreliability amplifies the genre's atmosphere of dread, uncertainty, and psychological intensity. Jerrold E. Hogle (2002) notes that the Gothic tradition inherently destabilizes Enlightenment ideals of coherent identity and rationality. In Poe's fiction, the Gothic is not merely a backdrop but an active force that shapes the narrative voice and the reader's interpretive engagement. The narrators in "The Tell-Tale Heart," "The Black Cat," and "The Cask of Amontillado" are trapped within their own haunted psyches. As they recount their tales, they exhibit both a need for self-justification and a compulsion to confess—tensions that reveal deep psychological fractures.

Psychoanalysis offers a robust framework for understanding these fractures. Freud's notion of the uncanny (das Unheimliche) captures the disturbing familiarity of repressed thoughts returning in distorted forms. In "The Tell-Tale Heart," the narrator's auditory hallucination—the imagined heartbeat of his murdered victim—epitomizes this phenomenon: "It is the beating of his hideous heart!" (Poe, 1843, p. 796). This intrusive sound is not a supernatural event but a projection of overwhelming guilt, echoing Freud's theory that the uncanny arises when the boundaries between reality and internal fears dissolve (Freud, 1919).

Building on Freud, Norman Holland (1960, 1968) argues that literature functions as a symbolic expression of both authorial and readerly unconscious drives. Poe's obsessive exploration of guilt, punishment, and psychological disintegration reflects such psychic tensions. In "The Black Cat," the narrator exhibits shifting emotional registers—remorse, denial, pride—which expose his unstable psyche. He insists, "my very senses reject their own evidence," illustrating the psychological dissonance between perception and reality (Poe, 1843, p. 851).

Marie Bonaparte (1949) further contends that Poe's fiction expresses his personal neuroses, particularly his fears of abandonment and death. Her psychoanalytic reading positions Poe's tales as dramatizations of inner turmoil, where violent or grotesque imagery displaces unresolved emotional trauma. The symbolic acts of mutilation and concealment—such as walling up a corpse or dismembering a body—become

manifestations of internal psychic wounds. In this context, the unreliable narrators are not only structurally significant but also psychologically revealing.

Narratology, particularly through Gérard Genette's (1980) concept of focalization, provides insight into the structural effects of Poe's unreliable narration. Genette distinguishes between narrative voice and focalization, the former being the source of the narration and the latter the lens through which the story is perceived. Poe's stories often employ internal focalization, which restricts the perspective to the narrator's consciousness. This limitation produces what Richardson (2006) describes as "epistemological uncertainty," where the reader must navigate distorted or incomplete accounts.

In "The Cask of Amontillado," Montresor tells his story from a reflective vantage point, yet his narrative is shrouded in ambiguity. His claim that Fortunato "had given him a thousand injuries" is never substantiated, leaving the reader to question the legitimacy of his revenge (Poe, 1846, p. 848). Montresor's calm tone and calculated execution contrast starkly with the horror of his actions, a dissonance that highlights the unreliability of retrospective narration. As Genette might argue, Montresor's extradiegetic-homodiegetic position gives him narrative control, but not necessarily truth.

Poststructuralist theory, especially Derrida's idea of différance, complicates the relationship between language, truth, and narrative. In Poe's tales, narrators constantly defer definitive meaning, producing instead a fragmented and contradictory discourse. Their confessions are filled with rhetorical performances that obscure more than they reveal. In "The Tell-Tale Heart," the narrator's justification for murder hinges on an aesthetic revulsion: "One of his eyes resembled that of a vulture—a pale blue eye, with a film over it" (Poe, 1843, p. 793). This grotesque fixation lacks logical grounding and signals a breakdown of rational explanation. Derrida's view that meaning is never present in full but always in flux (Derrida, 1978) is particularly relevant here, as each assertion by the narrator introduces further contradictions.

John Carlos Rowe (1997) supports this deconstructive reading by emphasizing Poe's resistance to narrative closure. The confessions do not lead to clarity or redemption but rather deepen the mystery. "The Cask of Amontillado," for instance, concludes not with moral reckoning but with eerie triumph: "In pace requiescat!" (Poe, 1846, p. 850). The irony is biting—Montresor has buried Fortunato alive and sealed his fate, yet delivers a prayer for peace. The tension between performative language and moral ambiguity exemplifies Poe's challenge to the ethical function of storytelling.

Feminist criticism also brings to light the gendered dimensions of Poe's narrative strategies. Sandra Gilbert and Susan Gubar (1979) argue that male Gothic narrators often suppress or erase female characters as a means of asserting narrative and psychological control. In "The Black Cat," the narrator's wife is barely individualized and ultimately becomes a casualty of his spiraling violence. Her death, described with

chilling detachment—"I buried the axe in her brain"—serves to reinforce the narrator's dissociation and dominance (Poe, 1843, p. 853). Her spectral return via the mewing cat entombed with her underscores the failure of this erasure, symbolizing the return of the repressed.

Additionally, Gothic settings often mirror psychological states. Jerrold Hogle (2002) notes that architectural spaces in Gothic fiction represent interior mental landscapes. Poe's catacombs, cellars, and decaying houses are not merely physical locations; they are symbolic sites of repression and guilt. In "The Black Cat," the cellar becomes a tomb of hidden violence, and in "The Cask of Amontillado," the descent into the catacombs mirrors the narrator's descent into moral darkness.

Cognitive literary theory, particularly Lisa Zunshine's (2006) exploration of theory of mind, provides a final interpretive layer. Readers of Poe's tales must continually assess the mental states of narrators whose perceptions are demonstrably flawed. Zunshine explains that readers are hardwired to attribute mental states to others, even fictional characters, but Poe subverts this process by supplying unreliable cues. This creates a paradoxical experience: readers are immersed in the narrator's perspective while simultaneously questioning its validity.

In "The Black Cat," the narrator's shifting tone—from confessional to defensive to triumphant—requires readers to perform constant reassessment. His final realization that the cat has betrayed him is delivered with grotesque irony: "I had walled the monster up within the tomb!" (Poe, 1843, p. 854). This climactic line embodies the narrative paradox: the narrator sees the cat as a supernatural agent of vengeance, but the reader perceives it as a symbol of inescapable guilt.

Taken together, these theoretical frameworks—psychoanalytic, narratological, poststructuralist, feminist, and cognitive—converge on the central theme of narrative instability. Poe's unreliable narrators are not simply deceptive voices; they are structurally embedded devices that interrogate the limits of knowledge, identity, and moral coherence. By immersing readers in fragmented, psychologically charged, and rhetorically dense narratives, Poe compels a rethinking of what it means to tell—and to believe—a story.

This section has shown that Poe's literary innovation lies in his fusion of form and psychology. His narrators are not merely conduits for plot but are integral to the thematic and philosophical fabric of his fiction. Their unreliability is both a narrative technique and a philosophical challenge—a Gothic meditation on the impossibility of knowing the self or others with certainty.

III: Confessional Crisis of Narrative Authority

Among Poe's most studied works, "The Tell-Tale Heart" (1843) epitomizes the psychological intricacy and narrative unreliability that characterize his short fiction. In this brief yet deeply disturbing tale, Poe deploys an unreliable first-person narrator whose insistence on his sanity and clarity of purpose is directly contradicted by the

content and tone of his confession. As Booth (1961) asserts, an unreliable narrator's story invites scrutiny, making readers critically interpret inconsistencies and contradictions. Poe masterfully compels the reader into this interpretive role by immersing them in the fragmented psyche of a man gripped by obsession and guilt.

From the very opening line—"True!—nervous—very, very dreadfully nervous I had been and am; but why will you say that I am mad?" (Poe, 1843, p. 792)—the narrator attempts to establish credibility while simultaneously undercutting it through fragmented syntax and excessive insistence. This rhetorical performance creates what John Carlos Rowe (1997) describes as a "deconstructive confession," a speech act intended not to clarify but to obscure. The narrator's declarations of rationality intensify suspicion: "You fancy me mad. Madmen know nothing. But you should have seen me. You should have seen how wisely I proceeded" (Poe, 1843, p. 792). His language suggests not logic, but an increasingly elaborate delusion. The repetition, exclamatory emphasis, and obsessive detail draw attention not to mental coherence but to psychological fragmentation.

Freud's theory of the uncanny (1919) offers a vital lens for understanding this phenomenon. The narrator becomes haunted by what he seeks to repress: the murder of the old man. His perceived control over events is shattered by the return of guilt, which takes the form of the imagined heartbeat. This sound, which "grew louder—louder—louder!" becomes an unbearable psychological projection: "It is the beating of his hideous heart!" (Poe, 1843, p. 796). As Freud notes, the uncanny arises when something repressed returns in a distorted, frightening form. The narrator's guilt is not a moral awakening but an involuntary eruption from the unconscious. Holland (1968) argues that the story dramatizes a collapse of the ego's defenses, revealing an internal struggle between desire and repression. The auditory hallucination symbolizes not just guilt but the disintegration of the self.

The symbolic dimension of the "vulture eye" also supports a psychoanalytic reading. The narrator insists that he "loved the old man" and had no personal animosity: "It was not the old man who vexed me, but his Evil Eye" (Poe, 1843, p. 793). This displacement suggests an internal split, in which the eye becomes an externalized superego, a judge the narrator seeks to destroy. Marie Bonaparte (1949) interprets such imagery as symptomatic of Poe's own fears of surveillance and castration, rooted in childhood trauma and loss. The act of murder is not merely homicidal but an attempt to silence the internal moral authority the eye represents.

Narratologically, "The Tell-Tale Heart" is structured through what Genette (1980) classifies as homodiegetic and internally focalized narration. The story is confined to the narrator's perspective, barring readers from any objective insight into events. This structure intensifies the reader's sense of entrapment within a pathological mind. As Richardson (2006) explains, such narratives foreground epistemological uncertainty, compelling the reader to question the boundaries between reality and hallucination.

The narrative also functions as a subversion of the traditional confessional genre. In religious and literary contexts, confession is associated with redemption and clarity. Yet Poe's narrator offers a confession that neither absolves nor clarifies. Instead, it spirals into linguistic excess, drawing attention to its own performativity. Derrida's theory of différance—the endless deferral of meaning—finds resonance here. Each assertion of the narrator's sanity or rationale defers rather than secures meaning. His narrative does not resolve guilt; it manifests it.

Gilbert and Gubar's (1979) feminist reading of confessional Gothic also applies. Although "The Tell-Tale Heart" lacks a prominent female character, the narrator's performative masculinity—his attempt to dominate the old man and assert control over life and death—can be interpreted as a distorted effort to assert patriarchal authority. The act of killing is both an assertion of dominance and a symptom of psychological impotence.

Moreover, the spatial setting of the story reflects a Gothic interiority. The house, and particularly the floor beneath which the body is hidden, becomes a metaphor for repression. As Hogle (2002) argues, Gothic spaces often symbolize psychological states. Here, the floor is not only a physical concealment but a psychic tomb, holding the repressed event that inevitably resurfaces.

Zunshine's (2006) cognitive theory offers further insight into the narrator's manipulation of reader empathy. Readers instinctively attempt to understand characters' mental states, but Poe subverts this process. The narrator offers cues that elicit both identification and revulsion. The reader is trapped in the uncomfortable position of being inside a mind that is both persuasive and pathological.

J. Gerald Kennedy (1987) contends that Poe's narrators dramatize the ethical perils of unchecked subjectivity. In the absence of communal norms or moral checks, the narrator's perception becomes the only guide—a guide fatally compromised by obsession. His narrative does not seek truth but domination over interpretation. As Kennedy writes, "The narrator's performance suggests that storytelling itself may be complicit in madness."

In sum, "The Tell-Tale Heart" exemplifies Poe's technique of unreliable narration as both a narrative strategy and a philosophical inquiry. The narrator's voice, while seemingly confessional, serves to obscure rather than reveal, to justify rather than reflect. Through psychoanalytic, narratological, poststructuralist, feminist, and cognitive lenses, the tale reveals itself as a study in narrative disintegration. The confession becomes a spectacle of madness, a voice unraveling under the weight of its own contradictions. Poe invites the reader not only to witness this collapse but to experience it—to become, momentarily, trapped within a mind that denies its own unraveling.

IV: The Ethics of Self-Deception

In "The Black Cat" (1843), Poe intensifies his exploration of unreliable narration by constructing a confessional narrative riddled with denial, self-justification, and psychological fragmentation. The story's narrator, much like those in "The Tell-Tale Heart" and "The Cask of Amontillado," begins by insisting on his sanity—"Yet, mad am I not—and very surely do I not dream" (Poe, 1843, p. 850)—while simultaneously recounting a descent into violent madness. His calm, retrospective tone, contrasted with the grotesque content of his confession, creates a chilling dissonance. He frames his narrative as a moral testimony, opening with: "Tomorrow I die. Today I would unburthen my soul" (Poe, 1843, p. 850). Yet, from the outset, the credibility of this so-called testimony is undermined by the narrator's rhetorical maneuvering and the minimization of his escalating violence.

Alcohol is introduced early as an exculpatory force: "My disease grew upon me—for what disease is like Alcohol!" (Poe, 1843, p. 851). This displacement of agency echoes what Norman Holland (1968) identifies as a psychological defense mechanism—a way to dissociate from responsibility and project blame onto an external force. Despite recognizing the horror of his actions, such as mutilating the eye of his beloved cat Pluto, the narrator frames his behavior as the product of an affliction rather than a conscious moral failing. Freud's (1919) notion of the uncanny—where repressed material returns in haunting form—is relevant here. The reappearance of a second black cat, nearly identical to Pluto but with a ghastly gallows-shaped patch of white fur, disturbs the narrator precisely because it echoes his earlier crime. "It was a black cat—a very large one—fully as large as Pluto, and closely resembling him in every respect but one," he notes, adding, "A white patch, as if by accident, had been formed… into the image of the gallows!" (Poe, 1843, p. 852). The cat becomes an embodiment of guilt, a symbolic return of the repressed that the narrator cannot rationally dismiss.

The transformation of the domestic space into a Gothic setting further reflects the narrator's unraveling psyche. Jerrold Hogle (2002) observes that in Gothic fiction, architectural spaces often serve as extensions of mental states. The narrator's cellar, where the climactic murder and concealment occur, becomes a symbolic tomb—not only for the wife's body but also for the narrator's buried conscience. His pride in the solidity of the wall—"I rapped heavily with a cane upon that very portion of the brick-work behind which stood the corpse"—highlights his delusion that guilt can be physically sealed away (Poe, 1843, p. 854). Yet this moment of false triumph collapses when the second cat, walled up with the corpse, reveals his crime. The cat's cry shatters the illusion of control and enacts what Tony Magistrale (2001) calls Poe's "architecture of guilt," wherein concealed crimes inevitably surface.

From a narratological perspective, the story is structured through homodiegetic narration with internal focalization (Genette, 1980). The reader is confined to the

narrator's increasingly unstable viewpoint, which heightens the sense of epistemological uncertainty. As Brian Richardson (2006) argues, such structures force the reader to reconstruct events from unreliable fragments, turning the act of reading into an exercise in suspicion. The narrator's selective presentation of events—his shifting tone, his inconsistent logic, his veiled pride—demands critical interrogation.

Marie Bonaparte (1949) provides further psychological depth by linking Poe's narratives to personal trauma. She argues that Poe's recurring themes of loss, guilt, and punishment reflect his own experiences of abandonment. In "The Black Cat," the narrator oscillates between affection and aggression toward both his pets and his wife, mirroring Bonaparte's interpretation of Poe's ambivalent emotional attachments. When the narrator kills his wife in a moment of sudden rage—"I withdrew my arm from her grasp and buried the axe in her brain" (Poe, 1843, p. 853)—he offers no reflection, only a pragmatic focus on concealing the body. This casual brutality exemplifies what Holland (1960) describes as the ego's collapse under the pressure of unconscious drives.

Poststructuralist theory, especially Derrida's (1978) concept of différance, sheds light on the narrative's fragmentation. The narrator's voice shifts between remorse and bravado, and his confession is less an act of moral clarity than a performance of control. Each attempt to articulate guilt defers its resolution, creating what Rowe (1997) terms a "rhetoric of self-deception." The story ends not with catharsis but exposure, and the final cry of the cat becomes a grotesque punctuation: "Of my own thoughts it is folly to speak. Suffer me to relate the mere household events… The hideous beast whose craft had seduced me into murder… I had walled the monster up within the tomb!" (Poe, 1843, p. 854). The narrator frames his exposure not as justice but as betrayal, externalizing blame even in his final moments.

Feminist criticism, particularly from Sandra Gilbert and Susan Gubar (1979), further complicates our reading. Although the narrator's wife is peripheral to the story's action, her role is symbolically potent. She is unnamed, voiceless, and ultimately silenced—both literally and narratively. Her murder is described with chilling detachment, as though her humanity is incidental. Gilbert and Gubar argue that such silencing of female figures in Gothic fiction reveals deeper anxieties about patriarchal authority and the fragile male ego. The second cat—possibly associated with the wife, perhaps her symbolic return—becomes the agent of exposure, enacting a justice denied in life.

Cognitively, the story manipulates reader empathy through what Lisa Zunshine (2006) describes as theory of mind. Readers instinctively interpret characters' mental states, even when those characters are untrustworthy. Poe exploits this tendency, providing emotional cues that invite sympathy—such as the narrator's lamentation over Pluto—only to disrupt that sympathy through actions of cruelty. This destabilization keeps readers alert, skeptical, and morally engaged.

Moreover, the dual nature of the cat—both ordinary and supernatural—echoes the Gothic's preoccupation with blurred boundaries. As Hogle (2002) suggests, the Gothic thrives on ambiguity. Is the second cat a mere animal, or a vengeful specter? Is the narrator mad, or does he inhabit a world where the supernatural enforces moral retribution? Poe leaves these questions unanswered, inviting interpretations across psychological and symbolic registers.

Ultimately, "The Black Cat" serves as a dark meditation on the lies we tell ourselves and the costs of moral evasion. The narrator uses confession not to unburden his soul but to craft a narrative of control. His attempts to separate himself from his actions—to blame alcohol, fate, or the cat—reveal the deep fractures in his sense of self. Through tightly woven symbolism, layered narration, and thematic ambiguity, Poe constructs a psychological landscape where guilt is inescapable and repression breeds ruin.

The story's closing image—a corpse entombed with a living, howling cat—concentrates its themes into a single Gothic tableau. It is a portrait of guilt that cannot be buried, of truth that refuses silence. As with Poe's other unreliable narrators, the horror lies not only in the actions committed but, in the refusal, to see them for what they are. In this way, "The Black Cat" extends Poe's exploration of unreliable narration into the realm of ethical inquiry. What happens when confession becomes a lie? When narrative becomes a means of self-justification rather than self-knowledge? These are the questions that animate Poe's haunting tale, and they remain disturbingly relevant.

V: The Architecture of Revenge

In "The Cask of Amontillado" (1846), Poe presents perhaps his most composed and chilling unreliable narrator. Unlike the frantic voice of "The Tell-Tale Heart" or the guilt-ridden figure in "The Black Cat," Montresor speaks with unnerving calmness and a calculated tone that masks deep emotional and moral disorder. His tale of revenge, cloaked in sophisticated language and precise detail, is less a confession than a justification. From the opening sentence—"The thousand injuries of Fortunato I had borne as I best could; but when he ventured upon insult, I vowed revenge" (Poe, 1846, p. 848)—Montresor sets the stage for a narrative driven not by justice, but by a cold and meticulous desire to dominate and destroy.

What makes Montresor's narrative so disturbing is the absence of emotional volatility. He claims to have been wronged, yet never specifies how. This lack of detail, as John Gruesser (1995) notes, destabilizes the moral grounds of the revenge, shifting focus away from Fortunato's offense and toward Montresor's psychology. The ambiguity invites the reader to suspect that the insult may have been imagined or exaggerated, perhaps even a projection of Montresor's wounded pride or social inferiority. His insistence that revenge must be enacted "with impunity"—that "a wrong is unredressed when retribution overtakes its redresser" (Poe, 1846, p. 848)—frames the act not as an emotional outburst, but a philosophical exercise.

This framing is critical. Unlike the narrators of Poe's other tales, who are undone by guilt or madness, Montresor succeeds in maintaining a mask of control. His crime is carried out in an orderly fashion, beneath the pretense of friendship. He flatters Fortunato, lures him with wine, and performs concern for his health. "We will go back; your health is precious," he says, even as he leads him deeper into the catacombs (Poe, 1846, p. 849). These gestures of civility are grotesquely ironic. Wayne Booth's (1961) model of unreliable narration helps illuminate how Montresor's rhetorical control works against him, subtly revealing the cracks in his mask. His performance of rationality and nobility ironically reveals the moral void at his core.

From a psychoanalytic perspective, Montresor's behavior suggests a deeply repressed need for validation and control. His revenge is not only about punishing Fortunato, but about asserting his superiority and reclaiming a sense of self-worth. Jacques Lacan's (1998) theory of the mirror stage and symbolic order provides a useful lens: Montresor is attempting to stabilize his ego by forcing Fortunato to recognize his power. The demand that Fortunato "must know who avenges" (Poe, 1846, p. 848) signals Montresor's desire to inscribe his identity through violence. Yet this inscription, like all attempts to fix identity through symbolic acts, is ultimately fragile and unstable.

The setting of the story—the catacombs—mirrors Montresor's psychological state. Jerrold Hogle (2002) argues that Gothic architecture often externalizes inner chaos, turning space into metaphor. The descent into the catacombs becomes a descent into Montresor's own repressed psyche. The walls he builds around Fortunato mirror the emotional walls he constructs around himself. As he seals Fortunato behind bricks, he seals away a part of his own humanity. The torchlight fading as Montresor retreats suggests a symbolic extinguishing of conscience.

Narratologically, the tale is a masterclass in controlled perspective. Genette's (1980) model classifies Montresor's narration as extradiegetic-homodiegetic—he tells the story after the fact, with complete narrative control. Yet this control is precisely what makes the story so suspicious. Who is the "you" he addresses in the opening line—"You, who so well know the nature of my soul"? Is it a priest? A judge? Himself? The ambiguity of the implied audience, as Gruesser (1995) notes, adds another layer of unreliability. The lack of judgment or reflection, even fifty years after the crime, suggests not peace, but repression. This is not closure—it is denial masquerading as mastery.

The irony of Fortunato's name—meaning "fortunate"—is a cruel joke in a tale saturated with irony. His jester's costume on the night of his murder adds a theatrical flair to his demise. Montresor plays both audience and author in this dark performance. The repeated motif of the Amontillado—a rare and refined wine—stands in for Fortunato's pride, his fatal flaw. "Luchesi cannot tell Amontillado from Sherry," Montresor goads him (Poe, 1846, p. 848), exploiting his vanity to ensure his downfall.

The manipulation is so skillful, so devoid of overt malice, that Fortunato never realizes he is in danger until it is too late.

Feminist interpretations, though less obviously applicable, may still find relevance in Montresor's need to silence another's voice. Fortunato, like the wives in Poe's other tales, is ultimately reduced to silence—his screams met with a triumphant echo from Montresor. "I replied to the yells of him who clamored. I re-echoed—I aided—I surpassed them in volume and in strength" (Poe, 1846, p. 850). This moment is Montresor's assertion of total narrative dominance. He does not merely kill; he silences, overtakes, and erases.

Cognitive theory, particularly Lisa Zunshine's (2006) insights into theory of mind, helps explain the unsettling effect of Montresor's calm voice. Readers are conditioned to search for emotional cues to assess a character's mental state. But Montresor's affective flatness creates a void. His absence of guilt or reflection forces readers to fill in the emotional blanks, heightening discomfort. We are left not with a sense of justice, but a lingering unease. What kind of person could commit such an act—and recount it without flinching?

Derrida's concept of différance (1978) also finds expression here. Montresor's narrative appears complete, yet it leaves so much unsaid. The story's meanings are always deferred—why was he insulted? What was the nature of their relationship? Why now? The gaps invite endless speculation. As John Carlos Rowe (1997) writes, Poe's stories resist closure, and in doing so, they mimic the structure of trauma. Montresor's tale is not a confession but a repetition—a ritual re-enactment of power, designed to freeze meaning rather than open it.

The final line of the story—"In pace requiescat!"—delivered after fifty years of silence, is deeply ironic (Poe, 1846, p. 850). Is it sincere? A mockery? A desperate attempt at absolution? The ambiguity lingers. Like the bricks sealing Fortunato's tomb, Montresor's words are carefully placed, but they cannot contain the full truth. The story ends not with peace, but with a question. Can revenge ever truly satisfy? Can silence ever replace reckoning?

In sum, "The Cask of Amontillado" is a study in psychological architecture. Every detail—spatial, rhetorical, symbolic—is part of a carefully constructed edifice designed to house a secret crime. Montresor's voice, elegant and composed, masks a moral and emotional void. His reliability as a narrator is not undone by hysteria, but by poise. It is the very precision of his storytelling that disturbs us most. Poe, through Montresor, explores a darker shade of unreliability: one that wears civility like a costume and buries its crimes beneath layers of performance.

As with Poe's other tales, the horror lies not only in what is done but in how it is told. Montresor invites us into his world, offers us a glass of Amontillado, and calmly bricks us in. We are left in the dark, wondering what was real, what was imagined, and what remains hidden in the silence.

Conclusion

Edgar Allan Poe's use of unreliable narrators in "The Tell-Tale Heart," "The Black Cat," and "The Cask of Amontillado" invites us into unsettling worlds where the line between truth and delusion is never quite clear. In these stories, the narrator's voice is not just a way to tell the tale—it's where the real conflict lives. We're drawn into fractured minds where guilt simmers beneath calm words, where logic twists under pressure, and where even a confession can feel like another act of deception. Poe doesn't stumble into unreliability by chance; he uses it deliberately to challenge our trust in reason, identity, and moral judgment.

By bringing together different critical perspectives—psychoanalysis, narratology, deconstruction, feminism, and cognitive theory—this study has shown how Poe turns storytelling into something far more complex. His narrators don't just tell stories; they shape reality, and in doing so, they force us to stay alert, to doubt, and to question. Whether it's a desperate voice trying to sound sane, a remorseful one dodging guilt, or a calm one hiding malice, each narrator asks us to read between the lines and confront our own assumptions.

Poe's tales stay with us not just because they're eerie, but because they make us think. They remind us how fragile perception can be, how identity is often just a performance, and how language—so familiar—can also mislead. In the end, Poe doesn't give us closure. He leaves us with questions—unanswered, echoing in the dark, like a heartbeat under the floorboards.

References

Booth, W. C. (1961). *The rhetoric of fiction*. University of Chicago Press.

Bonaparte, M. (1949). *The life and works of Edgar Allan Poe: A psycho-analytic interpretation*. Imago Publishing.

Derrida, J. (1978). *Writing and difference* (A. Bass, Trans.). University of Chicago Press.

Freud, S. (1919). The uncanny. In J. Strachey (Ed. & Trans.), *The standard edition of the complete psychological works of Sigmund Freud* (Vol. 17, pp. 217–256). Hogarth Press.

Genette, G. (1980). *Narrative discourse: An essay in method* (J. E. Lewin, Trans.). Cornell University Press.

Gilbert, S., & Gubar, S. (1979). *The madwoman in the attic: The woman writer and the nineteenth-century literary imagination*. Yale University Press.

Gruesser, J. (1995). Montresor's audience in "The Cask of Amontillado." *The Explicator, 54*(1), 2–4.

Hogle, J. E. (2002). *The Cambridge companion to Gothic fiction*. Cambridge University Press.

Holland, N. N. (1960). *The dynamics of literary response*. Oxford University Press.

Holland, N. N. (1968). *The dynamics of literary response*. Oxford University Press.
Lacan, J. (1998). *The ethics of psychoanalysis* (D. Porter, Trans.). Norton.
Magistrale, T. (2001). Poe's haunted house of Usher. In T. J. Collins (Ed.), *Poe and place* (pp. 37–53). University Press of Mississippi.
Poe, E. A. (1843). *The Black Cat*. *The Saturday Evening Post, 22*(3), 850–854.
Poe, E. A. (1843). *The Tell-Tale Heart*. *The Pioneer, 1*(1), 792–796.
Poe, E. A. (1846). *The Cask of Amontillado*. *Godey's Lady's Book, 33*(5), 848–850.
Richardson, B. (2006). *Unnatural voices: Extreme narration in modern and contemporary fiction*. Ohio State University Press.
Rowe, J. C. (1997). Through the looking-glass darkly: Poe and the rhetoric of self-deception. In J. G. Kennedy & L. J. Weissberg (Eds.), *Romancing the shadow: Poe and race* (pp. 3–19). Oxford University Press.
Zunshine, L. (2006). *Why we read fiction: Theory of mind and the novel*. Ohio State University Press.