

## Effect of planting date and seaweeds extract on growth and forage yield of Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.)

Anhar Mahmood Alshummary

Department of Field Crops, Agricultural Collage/ University of Basrah, Republic of Iraq

### I. Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during the winter season of 2023-2024 in an agricultural field in Al-Zubair district (20 km west of the center of Basra Governorate), located in the far southeast of Iraq at latitude 30°.28'N and longitude 47°.05'E which has sandy loam soil and well-water irrigation to determine the impact of three planting dates (15/September, 1/ October , and 15 /October), and four concentrations of seaweed extract (0,6, 12, and 18 g L<sup>-1</sup>), on forage growth , yield and quality of alfalfa. A split-plot arrangement with three replications, a completely randomized block design (R.C.B.D.) was used. The planting of alfalfa at the date of 1 / Oct gave the highest plant height, branches number per plant ,forage and dry weigh for the four cuts . All of the features under study were improved by increasing the concentration of seaweed extract, with the largest improvement occurring at the highest concentration (18 g L<sup>-1</sup>). The combination of 1<sup>st</sup> Oct × 18 g L<sup>-1</sup> gave the highest values for the majority of the growth and yield measures, indicating significant impact.

**Keywords:** *Alfalfa, planting dates ,seaweeds, forage yield*

### II. Introduction

The perennial herbaceous legume alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) is extensively grown to produce high-quality fodder. Of all legume crop for fodder, alfalfa is known as the "king of fodders" because of its high nutritional content and variety of uses, including silage and green fodder. It is a perennial crop that, depending on the environment and crop management strategy, can remain in the ground for four to twenty-four years and Because of its a great deal of quality alfalfa hay is known as one of the most essential animal feeds., as rich in proteins, amino acids, minerals, and vitamins (Bastaubayeva *et al.*, 2023). In addition to its ability to fix nitrogen and synthesize protein . Alfalfa production was insufficient to meet local animal feed needs, as livestock suffer from a severe feed shortage, particularly during the summer months, receiving only enough to sustain themselves. Crop production is expected to be affected by the current warm weather conditions in Iraq. Therefore, efforts are underway to determine an optimal planting date for alfalfa to withstand both high and low temperatures at the beginning of the season. Abdalrady *et al.*,(2017) found that the plants sowed on 20 / December produced the tallest plants, the greatest branches number per plant, fresh and dry forage yields, and protein yields.

Giving crops the nutrients they require, such as through the use of naturally occurring organic substances like seaweed extracts, is one of modern techniques to crop growth and development. Since it is a good source of growth regulators and macro and micronutrients, this foliar fertilizer has been popular in recent years, When sprayed on a plant, it increases the capacity of the roots to absorb nutrients, increases the thickness and strength of the stem, and increases the area of the leaves, all of which promote root and vegetative growth and, ultimately, production( Anisimov and Chaikina ,2014). These components include carbohydrates, vitamins, amino acids, and phytohormones(Das *etal.*,2025) .Thus, the study sought to ascertain when alfalfa



should be planted in due to climate change and the impact of seaweed extract foliar spraying on alfalfa fodder growth, yield, and quality.

### III. Materials and methods

An experiment was conducted during the winter season 2023-2024 in an agricultural field in Al-Zubair district (20 km south of Basra Governorate) to determine the effect of three planting dates (15/September, 1/ October , and 15/ October), symbolized as D1, D2, and D3 respectively, and four concentrations of seaweed extract (0,6, 12, and 18 g L<sup>-1</sup>), as S0, S1, S2, and S3 respectively, on the yield and quality of alfalfa forage. Soil samples were collected from the field at a depth of 15 -30 cm, let to air dry, and then sieved at a depth of 2 mm. The methods described in Black (1965) and Page *et al.* (1982) were used to evaluate physical and chemical properties of the soil, which are summarized in table (1). In a split-plot arrangement with three replications, a (R.C.B.D.) design was used. Planting dates were placed in the sub-plots, while seaweed concentrations were placed in the main plots. The experimental unit area was 2×3 m<sup>2</sup>. The rate of sowing used was 36 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Using the local variety, a thin layer of soil was applied to the seeds. Following planting, urea fertilizer (46% N) was applied to the soil at a rate of 80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>(Al-Mandalawi and Al-Refeia, 2017),with four doses of urea: one at planting and three more following each cutting. Weeds were removed, and irrigation was done as needed. A sample of early-flowering plants was chosen by cutting them near the ground, At every cutting the observed parameters are the Plant height, branches number, fresh and dry forage yield. Kjeldahl method was used to estimate the nitrogen percentage, and the A.O.A.C(1995) equation was utilized to obtain the protein as follows:

Protein percentage = nitrogen percentage x 6.25.

The analysis of variance was used, and the GenStat program was used to find significant differences between the mean scores for the attributes using the least LSD test at a probability of 0.05.

**Table 1. Physical and chemical analysis of soil**

Properties	Value	Unit
(E.C.)	7.50	dS m <sup>-1</sup>
pH	7.20	-
Organic Matter	0.2	%
Available N	20.23	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>
Available P	9.63	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>
Available K	90.95	mg kg <sup>-1</sup>
Sand	70.63	g kg <sup>-1</sup>
Silt	10.80	g kg <sup>-1</sup>
Clay	18.57	g kg <sup>-1</sup>
Soil texture	Loamy sand	

### IV. Results and discussion

#### Plant height (cm)

Results in Table 2 indicated that planting dates had a significant effect on plant height in all cuts . Highest plant height was recorded at1/ October (D2) was 22.96, 28.64 , 37.70 and 45.13cm in the 1<sup>st</sup> , 2<sup>nd</sup> , 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> cut respectively, while the planting date 15/ September (D1) gave the lowest height for the four cuts about 17.53, 25.13,30.00 and 34.47 cm, respectively.This might be explained by favorable climatic factors including temperature and light intensity, which increased internode elongation and, as a result, plant height.



The results show that seaweed extract led to substantial changes in plant height compared to the control, as shown in Table 1. The highest plant height were founded with the concentration of 18g L<sup>-1</sup>(S3) the 1<sup>st</sup> cut ,3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> cut were 28.70,36.86,45.73cm respectively ,whereas the treatment S2 gave the highest plant height at the 2<sup>nd</sup> cut(27.54cm), on the other hand the lowest plant height was found in S0 treatment (control) were 10.77, 26.73,31.60 and 34.20 cm for the four cuts respectively. This finding conforms with Al-Ghazal et al.'s (2023) results. The seaumic extract contains humic acid, macronutrients, micronutrients, hormones, and amino acids, all of which significantly impact growth leading to increased plant height.The interaction was significant at the all cuts , D2 × S3 at 1<sup>st</sup> cut and 4<sup>th</sup> cut produced the tallest plant were 33.57 and 52.79 cm respectively , D2 × S1 in the 2<sup>nd</sup>cut and 3<sup>rd</sup> cut gave the highest (36.44 and 40.91 cm respectively ), However, the planting date D1 with the seaweed extract control treatment had the lowest values across all cuts.

#### Number of branches( branch m<sup>-2</sup>)

Table (2) showed a significant effect of the two study factors and the interaction between them on branches number of plant Maximum number of branches were gained on D2 for all cuts were 510.25,454.17,483.42 and 488.67 branch m<sup>-2</sup> respectively, while the lowest branches were found at the late date (D3) ,this due to the a favorable environment created by the ideal sowing date resulted in a greater number of branches .Maximum branches gained by the treatment S3 in all cuts were 411.67,420.56,442.78 and 448.67 branch m<sup>-2</sup> respectively, less number of branches were found by the use of control treatment . This might be that seaweed extract encourages cell division and plant growth, increasing photosynthesis and accumulation of photosynthetic products(Khan *et al.*,2009). Overall, the findings indicated that interactions were significant at the all cuts ,D2×S3 gave the maximum branches were 550.67,560.67,570.67and 594.00 branch m<sup>-2</sup> respectively, On the other hand the interaction D1×S0 at the 1<sup>st</sup> cut and the interaction D3×S0 gave the minimum number of branches were 135.00,162.00,230 and 197.67 branch m<sup>-2</sup> respectively.

Table2. Effect of planting dates and seaweed application on plant height, number of tillers of Alfalfa

Treatments	Plant height (cm)				Number of branches m <sup>-2</sup>			
	1 <sup>st</sup> cut	2 <sup>nd</sup> cut	3 <sup>rd</sup> cut	4 <sup>th</sup> cut	1 <sup>st</sup> cut	2 <sup>nd</sup> cut	3 <sup>rd</sup> cut	4 <sup>th</sup> cut
<b>Planting dates</b>								
<b>D1</b>	17.53	25.13	30.00	34.47	283.33	325.50	363.42	341.25
<b>D2</b>	22.96	28.64	37.70	45.13	510.25	454.17	483.42	488.67
<b>D3</b>	17.54	26.14	37.00	41.15	254.75	273.50	318.83	317.17
<b>L.S.D. (p≤ 0.05)</b>	1.247	1.19	0.31	0.52	12.88	14.25	14.25	41.27
<b>Sea weed</b>								
<b>S0</b>	10.77	26.73	31.60	34.2	255.56	211.44	280.00	252.44
<b>S1</b>	18.27	27.28	35.21	38.59	337.78	370.89	406.11	392.00
<b>S2</b>	19.64	27.54	35.92	42.47	392.78	401.33	425.33	436.33
<b>S3</b>	28.70	24.99	36.86	45.73	392.78	420.56	442.78	448.67
<b>L.S.D. (p≤ 0.05)</b>	0.96	0.54	0.57	0.25	19.83	8.61	13.67	29.90
<b>D1S0</b>	10.34	20.720	25.59	2833	135.00	265.00	299.67	265.67
<b>D1S1</b>	14.17	22.000	27.31	31.47	290.33	350.00	394.67	365.67



D1S2	15.39	31.47	36.30	3738	367.33	336.00	380.00	367.00
D1S3	30.23	26.31	30.77	40.70	340.67	351.00	379.33	366.67
D2S0	11.57	28.97	32.56	35.68	478.33	207.33	310.33	294.00
D2S1	21.43	36.44	40.91	43.39	471.67	492.00	490.33	492.00
D2S2	25.28	24.81	36.79	48.46	540.33	556.67	562.33	574.67
D2S3	33.57	24.32	40.57	52.79	550.67	560.67	570.67	594.00
D3S0	10.40	30.50	36.65	38.60	153.33	162.00	230.00	197.67
D3S1	19.20	23.40	37.41	40.90	251.33	270.67	333.33	318.33
D3S2	18.25	26.33	34.68	41.41	270.67	311.33	333.67	367.33
D3S3	22.31	24.32	39.25	43.70	343.67	350.00	378.33	385.33
L.S.D. ( $p \leq 0.05$ )	1.70	1.26	0.65	0.33	24.51	16.95	27.79	59.40

### Fresh forage yield (tons ha<sup>-1</sup>)

It is observed from the results of table (3) that the fresh forage yield was significantly impacted by the planting dates, seaweed extract, and their interaction. Maximum fresh yield was found under second planting date D2 were 10.451, 9.757, 10.345 and 10.246 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> at the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> cut respectively. Conversely, the first date D1 yielded the lowest fresh forage yield were, 5.153, 5.671, 5.678 and 5.739 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> for the all cuts respectively. The advantage of the second date in fresh fodder production can be attributed to its superiority in several of vegetative growth traits, such as plant height and branches number (Table 2). The results in Table (3) showed that application of seaweed extract showed significant effect on fresh forage yield of Alfalfa at cuts when applied at S4 treatment were 10.127, 10.700, 10.808 and 10.911 tons s ha<sup>-1</sup> at the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> cuts respectively, On the other hand the minimum fresh forage yield was obtained at the control treatment (S0) about 5.091, 3.638, 3.915 and 5.739 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> for the four cuts respectively. The physiological role of seaweed extract, which contain major and minor nutrients and bioactive compounds with positive effects that increase most vegetative growth (plant height and branches per m<sup>2</sup>) and are reflected in the fresh forage yield. As for interaction the fresh forage yield increased when planting at D2 across the application of S3 about 13.483, 14.090, 14.632 and 14.445 tons s ha<sup>-1</sup> at all cuts respectively, while the interaction D1×S0 gave the minimum values for all cuts.

### Dry forage yield (tons ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Table 3 shows the significant effect of planting dates, the application of seaweed extract, and the interaction between them on the dry forage yield for all cuttings except the third cuts. The second date (D2) achieved the highest average for dry forage yield, recording 1.868, 2.063 and 2.164 tons s ha<sup>-1</sup>. Conversely, the first date (D1) gave the lowest dry forage yield, with values of 1.053, 1.245, and 1.415 tons s ha<sup>-1</sup> for the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> cuts respectively. Furthermore, the application of seaweed extract varied significantly in this trait except the third cut, Higher yield dry forage yield was obtained at the S3 were 2.045, 2.257, and 2.282 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> for the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> cuts respectively. This difference can be attributed to the superiority of D2 and S3 in terms of fresh forage yield, which positively influenced the dry forage yield. Additionally, the interaction was shown to be significant in 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> cuts, However, at the 3<sup>rd</sup> cut was not significant. The maximum dry forage yield 2.715, 2.930, and 2.687 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> was produced in the planting date D2 with S3 for the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> cuts, respectively. On the other hand, the lowest forage dry yield was obtained at D1×S0 about 2.697, 3.187 and 3.419 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> for the three cutting respectively.



Table3. Effect of planting dates and seaweed application on Fresh and dry forage yield of Alfalfa

Treatments	Fresh forage yield (tons ha <sup>-1</sup> )				Dry forage yield (tons ha <sup>-1</sup> )			
	1 <sup>st</sup> cut	2 <sup>nd</sup> cut	3 <sup>rd</sup> cut	4 <sup>th</sup> cut	1 <sup>st</sup> cut	2 <sup>nd</sup> cut	3 <sup>rd</sup> cut	4 <sup>th</sup> cut
<b>D1</b>	5.153	5.671	5.678	5.739	1.053	1.245	1.148	1.415
<b>D2</b>	10.451	9.757	10.345	10.246	1.868	2.063	2.141	2.164
<b>D3</b>	10.002	9.026	9.266	9.387	1.715	1.978	1.93	1.965
<b>L.S.D. (p≤ 0.05)</b>	0.624	0.918	0.915	0.685	0.234	0.192	NS	0.198
<b>Sea weed</b>								
<b>S0</b>	5.091	3.638	3.915	3.969	0.675	0.666	0.861	0.755
<b>S1</b>	8.852	9.126	9.294	9.303	1.697	2.164	1.923	2.101
<b>S2</b>	8.738	9.141	9.702	9.647	1.764	1.962	1.990	2.253
<b>S3</b>	10.127	10.700	10.808	10.911	2.045	2.257	2.178	2.282
<b>L.S.D. (p≤ 0.05)</b>	0.330	0.524	0.290	0.264	0.1502	0.2537	NS	0.3807
<b>D1S0</b>	2.697	3.187	3.383	3.419	0.602	0.584	0.65	0.668
<b>D1S1</b>	5.383	5.877	5.708	5.971	1.011	1.631	1.166	1.447
<b>D1S2</b>	5.137	5.653	5.770	5.764	1.005	1.120	1.035	1.688
<b>D1S3</b>	7.397	7.967	7.851	7.802	1.595	1.644	1.739	1.856
<b>D2S0</b>	7.110	3.293	3.767	3.681	0.663	0.669	0.697	0.701
<b>D2S1</b>	11.330	11.513	11.545	11.372	2.212	2.487	2.428	2.518
<b>D2S2</b>	9.880	10.130	11.434	11.488	1.880	2.168	2.605	2.75
<b>D2S3</b>	13.483	14.090	14.632	14.445	2.715	2.930	2.834	2.687
<b>D3S0</b>	9.467	4.433	4.593	4.808	0.761	0.746	1.236	0.897
<b>D3S1</b>	9.843	9.987	10.628	10.567	1.867	2.373	2.175	2.337
<b>D3S2</b>	11.197	11.640	11.901	11.690	2.406	2.597	2.350	2.321
<b>D3S3</b>	9.500	10.043	9.942	10.485	1.826	2.198	1.961	2.303
<b>L.S.D. (p≤ 0.05)</b>	0.699	0.9179	0.907	0.698	0.2874	0.4026	NS	NS

**Crude protein content (%)**

Table 4 displays research data on crude protein content obtained by planting dates and applying seaweed. the highest protein content of 16.13% was recorded on plots where planted on D1at the 1<sup>st</sup> cut, while maximum protein content found in second date(D2) were 19.62 and 19.07% at the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> cuts respectively, However, the planting date did not significantly affect the protein content at the fourth cutting. These planting date were suitable for producing the highest soft and dry yields (Table 3) and increasing the nitrogen concentration in the vegetative part. Results in Table 4 show that spraying with seaweed extract significantly affected the leaf content of protein for all cuts. The content of protein was produced by the plants treated with seaweed extract at a concentration of S3 treatment were 18.26, 20.21,19.74 and 12.22% at the 1<sup>st</sup>,2<sup>nd</sup>,3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup>cuts respectively. Seaweed may have contributed to this increase by raising the amount of nitrogen in plants and are regarded as a valuable source of proteins and macronutrients (Echave *et al.*,2021)which in turn led to an increase in protein content. The interaction treatment D2×S3 at the 1<sup>st</sup> cut produced the highest increase in protein about 18.93% ,also the treatments D3×S3 and D3×S3 gave the highest content of protein were 20.64 and 13.22% at the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> cuts respectively, on the other hand the interaction treatments of D2×S1recorded the highest content of protein(21.24%)



Table4. Effect of planting dates and seaweed application on protein content of Alfalfa

Treatments	protein (%)			
	1 <sup>st</sup> cut	2 <sup>nd</sup> cut	3 <sup>rd</sup> cut	4 <sup>th</sup> cut
<b>Planting dates</b>				
<b>D1</b>	16.13	17.71	17.4	10.2
<b>D2</b>	14.25	19.62	19.07	10.59
<b>D3</b>	14.76	19.21	15.94	10.35
<b>L.S.D. (p≤ 0.05)</b>	0.792	0.743	0.301	NS
<b>Sea weed</b>				
<b>S0</b>	12.7	16.79	14.34	9.06
<b>S1</b>	13.77	19.07	17.14	9.35
<b>S2</b>	15.46	19.33	18.68	10.88
<b>S3</b>	18.26	20.21	19.74	12.22
<b>L.S.D. (p≤ 0.05)</b>	0.421	0.480	0.511	0.482
<b>D1S0</b>	14.26	13.14	16.00	9.29
<b>D1S1</b>	14.92	17.61	16.79	10.52
<b>D1S2</b>	17.07	20.16	17.10	10.22
<b>D1S3</b>	18.26	19.95	19.72	10.75
<b>D2S0</b>	10.49	19.91	14.75	8.91
<b>D2S1</b>	12.86	20.47	21.24	9.08
<b>D2S2</b>	14.72	18.09	20.15	11.67
<b>D2S3</b>	18.93	20.03	20.16	12.68
<b>D3S0</b>	13.34	17.31	12.25	8.99
<b>D3S1</b>	13.52	19.15	13.38	8.45
<b>D3S2</b>	14.60	19.76	18.80	10.74
<b>D3S3</b>	17.58	20.64	19.34	13.22
<b>L.S.D. (p≤ 0.05)</b>	0.888	0.915	0.792	0.879

## V. Conclusions

Under same agricultural conditions, planting alfalfa in early October together with foliar treatment of seaweed extract at 18 g L<sup>-1</sup> is advised to obtain increased fodder yield and better quality. These results offer a workable plan to increase alfalfa output, particularly in due to Iraq's changing climate.

## VI. References

- Abd El-Rady, W.A. (2018). Forage and seed yields stability of some alfalfa genotypes under different environments. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Of Agric., Assiut Univ., Egypt.
- Al-Bader, M. S.; Salih, S. M. and Ahmed, M. R. (2021). The effect of some agricultural application and their interventions, in some specific characteristics of the alfalfa plant (*Medicago sativa* L.). Kirkuk J. For Agric. Sci. 12(2):148-162 .
- Al-Ghazal , S. A. Y.; AL-Juheichy W. K.S. and Aziz, M. M. (2023). Effect of Bio-fertilizer, Humic Acid and Sea Algae Extract on Growth and yield of Sudan grass (*Sorghum sudanese* L.). Bionatura Issue 2 , Vol 8 No 1 :1-10



- Al-Mandalawi, H. M. and Al-Refai, S. M. (2017). The Effect of Seed Amounts and Nitrogen Fertilization in the growth and Yield the green fodder for a mixture of forage green forage of a Forage Mixture to Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) and Oats (*Avena sativa* L.). Al-Qadisiyah J. For Agric. Sci.,7(2):78-95.
- Anisimov, M.M and Chaikina, E.L .(2014). Effect of seaweed extract on the growth of seedling roots of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) Seasonal changes in the activity .International J.of Current Research and Academic Review 2(3):19-23.
- AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists) 1995. Official Methods of Analysis, 16<sup>th</sup> Edition. AOAC International, Gaithersburg, MD
- Bastaubayeva SO, Slyamova ND, Khidirov AE, Meirman GT, Bekbatyrov MB, Ustemirova AM (2023). Biological significance of alfalfa in the development of organic farming in South-Eastern Kazakhstan. SABRAO J. Breed. Genet. 55(1): 123-130. <http://doi.org/10.54910/sabrao2023.55.1.12>.
- Black C.A. (1965) . Methods of soil analysis – part – II. Soc. Agron. Inc. Publ. Madision Wisconsin U.S.A.
- Das,S.; Sandipan D.; Sanjita M.i; Pandit ,M. K.and Swagata M.(2025). Role of Seaweed Biostimulant in Plant Growth and Stress Resistance – A Review. Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci (2025) 14(11): 211-229
- Echave,J .; Fraga-Corral ,A.; Garcia-Perez , P.; Popovi'c-Djordjevi'c ,A.; Avdovi' , Edina H. M.;Radulovi'G.;Xiao, J.; Prieto, M.A.and Simal-Gandara,J.(2020). Seaweed Protein Hydrolysates and Bioactive Peptides: Extraction, Purification, and Applications. Mar. Drugs 2021, 19, 500. <https://doi.org/10.3390/md19090500>
- Khan, W., Rayirath, U. P., Subramanian, S., Jithesh, M. N., Rayorath, P., Mark Hodges, D., Critchley, A. T., Craigie, J. S., Norrie, J. and Prithiviraj, P. (2009). Seaweed Extracts as Biostimulants of Plant Growth and Development. Journal of Plant Growth Regulation; 28, 386-399.
- Martiniello, P.; Iannucci, A.; Felicioli, A. and Pinzauti, M. (1999). Ethological behaviours of solitary pollinators and their effect on berseem and alfalfa seed yield and seed yield components in Mediterranean environment. Proceedings of the 4<sup>th</sup> International Herbage Seed Conference, May 23-27, 1999, Perugia, Italy, pp: 106- 110.
- Page, A.L.; Miller, R.H. and D.R. Keeney (1982). Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. ASA Inc. Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A.
- Sanodiya1,L.K.; Prithviraj K. and Madhusudan T.(2022). Seaweed Extract: Usable for Plants Growth and Yield, Vigyan Varta An International E-Magazine for Science Enthusiasts,3(3):80-84

